# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20549

# Form 10-Q

Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the **Securities Exchange Act of 1934** 

For the quarterly period ended: September 30, 2012 Commission File Number: 1-1063

# **Dana Holding Corporation** (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware	26-1531856
(State of incorporation)	(IRS Employer Identification Number)
3939 Technology Drive, Maumee, OH	43537
(Address of principal executive offices)	(Zip Code)
Registrant's telephone nu	mber, including area code: <b>(419) 887-3000</b>
	required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 istrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing
	cally and posted on its corporate web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to 2.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the
	er, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):
Large accelerated filer þ Accelerated filer o	Non-accelerated filer o
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company o
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as d	efined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No þ
	ISSUERS INVOLVED IN BANKRUPTCY ING THE PRECEDING FIVE YEARS:
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has filed all documents a Act of 1934 subsequent to the distribution of securities under a plan co	and reports required to be filed by Sections 12, 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange on firmed by a court. Yes \( \beta \) No o
APPLICABLE O	NLY TO CORPORATE ISSUERS:
There were 148,098,928 shares of the registrant's common stock outsta	anding at October 12, 2012.

# DANA HOLDING CORPORATION – FORM 10-Q FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

		10-Q Pages
PART I – FINAN	ICIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1	Financial Statements	
	Consolidated Statement of Operations (Unaudited)	3
	Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited)	4
	Consolidated Balance Sheet (Unaudited)	5
	Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows (Unaudited)	6
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	8
Item 2	Management's Discussion and Applicate of Figure 21 Condition and December of Occupations	25
item 2	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	25
Item 3	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	40
Item 4	Controls and Procedures	40
PART II – OTHI	ER INFORMATION	
Item 1	Legal Proceedings	41
Item 1A	Risk Factors	41
Item 2	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	41
Item 6	Exhibits	41
Signatures		42
Exhibit Index		43
	2	

# PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

# ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

# Dana Holding Corporation Consolidated Statement of Operations (Unaudited) (In millions except per share amounts)

		Three Mor Septem				Nine Mor Septem		
		2012		2011		2012		2011
Net sales	\$	1,715	\$	1,940	\$	5,615	\$	5,649
Costs and expenses								
Cost of sales		1,477		1,706		4,838		4,967
Selling, general and administrative expenses		99		111		322		316
Amortization of intangibles		18		20		56		58
Restructuring charges, net		6		22		30		60
Other income, net		2		79		9		51
Income from continuing operations before interest expense and income	'							
taxes		117		160		378		299
Interest expense		22		20		63		59
Income from continuing operations before income taxes		95		140		315		240
Income tax expense		33		30		97		93
Equity in earnings of affiliates		(2)		6		4		17
Income from continuing operations		60		116		222		164
Loss from discontinued operations				(4)				(7)
Net income		60	-	112		222		157
Less: Noncontrolling interests net income		4		2		10		9
Net income attributable to the parent company		56		110		212	_	148
Preferred stock dividend requirements		8		8		23		23
Net income available to common stockholders	\$	48	\$	102	\$	189	\$	125
Net income per share available to parent company common stockholders:  Basic:								
Income from continuing operations	\$	0.32	\$	0.72	\$	1.28	\$	0.90
Loss from discontinued operations	\$	0.52	\$	(0.03)	\$	1,20	\$	(0.05)
Net income	\$	0.32	\$	0.69	\$	1.28	\$	0.85
Dilat								
Diluted:	ф	0.20	ď	0.52	ф	0.00	ф	0.72
Income from continuing operations	\$	0.26	\$	0.53	\$	0.99	\$	0.72
Loss from discontinued operations	\$	- 0.20	\$	(0.02)		- 0.00	\$	(0.03)
Net income	\$	0.26	\$	0.51	\$	0.99	\$	0.69
Weighted-average common shares outstanding								
Basic		148.1		147.1		147.8		146.3
Diluted		214.5		214.6		214.7		215.3
Dividends declared per common share	\$	0.05	\$	-	\$	0.15	\$	-

# Dana Holding Corporation Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited) (In millions)

		Three M Septe	-	hs Ended er 30,	Nine Months Ended September 30,				
		2012		2011		2012		2011	
Net income	\$	60		\$ 112	\$	222	\$	157	
Less: Noncontrolling interests net income		4		2		10		9	
Net income attributable to the parent company		56		110		212		148	
Other comprehensive income (loss) attributable to the parent company, net of tax:									
Currency translation adjustments		25		(158)		(10)		(75)	
Reclassification to net gain of divestiture's cumulative translation				(===)		()		()	
adjustment				(1)				(1)	
Unrealized hedging gains and losses:				· · ·				, ,	
Holding gains and losses (net of tax of \$1 and \$3 for the three and nine									
months 2012)		3		(13)		5		(12)	
Reclassification to net income		1				7			
Unrealized investment and other gains and losses:									
Holding gains and losses				(6)		1		(6)	
Defined benefit plans:									
Plan amendments		(6	)			(6)			
Net actuarial loss						(1)			
Amortization of net actuarial losses included in net periodic benefit				_					
cost		4		5		11		15	
Settlement loss								5	
Other comprehensive income (loss) attributable to the parent company	_	27		(173)		7		(74)	
Other comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests,									
net of tax:									
Currency translation adjustments		2		(2)		2		(1)	
Other comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling				<u> </u>				<u> </u>	
interests		2		(2)		2		(1)	
Total comprehensive income (loss) attributable to the parent company		83		(63)		219		74	
Total comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests		6				12		8	
Total comprehensive income (loss)	\$	89		\$ (63)	\$	231	\$	82	

# Dana Holding Corporation Consolidated Balance Sheet (Unaudited) (In millions except share and per share amounts)

	Sep	tember 30, 2012	D	ecember 31, 2011
Assets				
Current assets				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	940	\$	931
Marketable securities		64		56
Accounts receivable				
Trade, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$10 in 2012 and \$8 in 2011		969		979
Other		194		193
Inventories				
Raw materials		432		388
Work in process and finished goods		438		396
Other current assets		132		106
Total current assets		3,169		3,049
Goodwill		99		100
Intangibles		341		400
Other noncurrent assets		264		273
Investments in affiliates		203		198
Property, plant and equipment, net		1,236		1,285
Total assets	\$	5,312	\$	5,305
Total disects	<u> </u>	3,312	<b>D</b>	3,303
7.1.10bd 1 b				
Liabilities and equity				
Current liabilities	Φ.	400	Φ.	7.1
Notes payable, including current portion of long-term debt	\$	123	\$	71
Accounts payable		902		942
Accrued payroll and employee benefits		163		150
Accrued restructuring costs		40		33
Taxes on income		82		46
Other accrued liabilities		219		251
Total current liabilities		1,529		1,493
Long-term debt		813		831
Pension and postretirement obligations		557		762
Other noncurrent liabilities		386		381
Total liabilities		3,285		3,467
Commitments and contingencies (Note 13)				
Parent company stockholders' equity				
Preferred stock, 50,000,000 shares authorized				
Series A, \$0.01 par value, 2,500,000 shares outstanding		242		242
Series B, \$0.01 par value, 5,221,199 shares outstanding		511		511
Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 450,000,000 shares authorized, 148,098,278 and 147,319,438 outstanding		1		1
Additional paid-in capital		2,656		2,643
Accumulated deficit		(834)		(1,001)
Treasury stock, at cost (714,021 and 645,734 shares)		(10)		(9)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(643)		(650)
Total parent company stockholders' equity		1,923		1,737
Noncontrolling equity				
÷ • •		104		101
Total equity		2,027		1,838
Total liabilities and equity	\$	5,312	\$	5,305

# Dana Holding Corporation Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows (Unaudited) (In millions)

Nine Months Ended September 30,

		Septem	ber 30,
	2	012	2011
Cash flows – operating activities			
Net income	\$	222	\$ 157
Depreciation		142	163
Amortization of intangibles		66	68
Amortization of deferred financing charges and original issue discount		4	5
Loss on extinguishment of debt			53
Gain on sale of equity investments			(60)
Unremitted earnings of affiliates		(1)	(15)
Stock compensation expense		14	9
Deferred income taxes		(9)	3
Pension contributions in excess of expense		(204)	(4)
Change in working capital		(116)	(183)
Other, net		3	(10)
Net cash flows provided by operating activities		121	186
Cash flows – investing activities			
Purchases of property, plant and equipment		(113)	(127)
Acquisition of businesses		(7)	(163)
Payments to acquire interest in equity affiliate			(124)
Proceeds from sale of equity investments			136
Proceeds from sale of business		7	15
Other		(1)	6
Net cash flows used in investing activities		(114)	(257)
		(111)	(201)
Cash flows – financing activities			
Net change in short-term debt		26	25
Proceeds from long-term debt		40	764
Repayment of long-term debt		(14)	(879)
Deferred financing payments		()	(26)
Dividends paid to preferred stockholders		(23)	(23)
Dividends paid to common stockholders		(22)	(==)
Dividends paid to noncontrolling interests		(9)	(5)
Other		(1)	8
Net cash flows used in financing activities		(3)	(136)
The cubit nows used in intuiting activities		(5)	(150)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		4	(207)
Cash and cash equivalents – beginning of period		931	1,090
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash balances		5	(32)
Cash and cash equivalents – end of period	\$	940	\$ 851

# Dana Holding Corporation Index to Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

1. Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies 2. Acquisitions and Divestitures 3. Discontinued Operations 4. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets 5. Restructuring of Operations 6. Earnings per Share 7. Stock Compensation 8. Pension and Postretirement Benefit Plans 9. Stockholders' Equity 10. Marketable Securities 11. Financing Agreements Fair Value Measurements and Derivatives 12. 13. Commitments and Contingencies 14. Warranty Obligations 15. **Income Taxes** 16. Other Income, Net 17. Segments **Equity Affiliates** 18.

# Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) (In millions, except share and per share amounts)

# Note 1. Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

#### General

Dana Holding Corporation (Dana) is headquartered in Maumee, Ohio and was incorporated in Delaware in 2007. As a leading supplier of driveline products (axles, driveshafts and transmissions), power technologies (sealing and thermal management products) and genuine service parts for vehicle manufacturers, our customer base includes virtually every major vehicle manufacturer in the global light vehicle, medium/heavy vehicle and off-highway markets.

The terms "Dana," "we," "our" and "us," when used in this report, are references to Dana. These references include the subsidiaries of Dana unless otherwise indicated or the context requires otherwise.

# Summary of significant accounting policies

Basis of presentation — Our consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) for interim financial information. These statements are unaudited, but in the opinion of management include all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation of the results for the interim periods. The results reported in these consolidated financial statements should not necessarily be taken as indicative of results that may be expected for the entire year. The financial information included herein should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 of our 2011 Form 10-K.

Discontinued operations — We classify a business component that has been disposed of or classified as held for sale as discontinued operations if the cash flows of the component have been or will be eliminated from our ongoing operations and we will no longer have any significant continuing involvement in or with the component. The results of operations of our discontinued operations, including any gains or losses on disposition, are aggregated and presented on one line in the income statement. See Note 3 for additional information regarding our discontinued operations.

#### Recently adopted accounting pronouncements

In September 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued guidance to provide an option in a company's annual goodwill impairment test to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If, after assessing all events and circumstances, it is determined that it is not more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, then performing the two-step goodwill impairment test is unnecessary. The guidance also expands the qualitative factors that a company should consider between annual impairment tests. The guidance was effective January 1, 2012. The adoption did not impact our financial condition or results of operations.

In June 2011, the FASB issued guidance to improve the comparability, consistency and transparency of financial reporting and to increase the prominence of items reported in other comprehensive income. The standard eliminates the prior option to present components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. The amendment requires that all nonowner changes in stockholders' equity be presented either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. We have included a separate statement of comprehensive income herein to comply with the guidance, which was effective January 1, 2012. Adoption of this guidance only impacted the presentation of other comprehensive income and did not impact our financial condition or results of operations.

In May 2011, the FASB issued guidance to improve consistency in application of existing fair value measurement and disclosure requirements. The standard is intended to clarify the application of the requirements, not to establish valuation standards or affect valuation practices outside of financial reporting. The guidance was effective January 1, 2012. Adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on our financial condition or results of operations.

# Recently issued accounting pronouncements

In July 2012, the FASB issued guidance to provide an option in a company's annual indefinite-lived intangible asset impairment test to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events and circumstances indicate that it is more likely than not that an asset is impaired. If, after assessing all events and circumstances, it is determined that it is not more likely than not that the indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired, then the entity is not required to take further action. However, if an entity concludes otherwise, then it is required to determine the fair value of the indefinite-lived intangible asset and perform the quantitative impairment test by comparing the fair value with the carrying amount. The changes are effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012, with early adoption permitted. We do not expect adoption of this guidance to impact our financial condition or results of operations.

In December 2011, the FASB issued guidance to enhance disclosures about offsetting assets and liabilities. Entities are required to disclose both gross information and net information about both instruments and transactions eligible for offset in the statement of financial position and instruments and transactions subject to an agreement similar to a master netting arrangement. The guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. We do not expect adoption of this guidance to impact our financial condition or results of operations.

#### Note 2. Acquisitions and Divestitures

SIFCO — In February 2011, we entered into an agreement with SIFCO, a leading producer of steer axles and forged components in South America. In return for a payment of \$150 to SIFCO, we acquired the distribution rights to SIFCO's commercial vehicle steer axle systems as well as an exclusive long-term supply agreement for key driveline components. In accordance with the agreement, SIFCO has provided selected assets and assistance to Dana to establish assembly capabilities for these systems. We are responsible for all customer relationships, including marketing, sales, engineering and assembly. The addition of truck and bus steer axles to our product offering in South America effectively positions us as the leading full-line supplier of commercial vehicle drivelines — including front and rear axles, driveshafts and suspension systems — in South America.

Dongfeng Dana Axle — On June 30, 2011, we purchased an additional 46% interest in Dongfeng Dana Axle Co., Ltd. (DDAC), a commercial vehicle axle manufacturer in China, from Dongfeng Motor Co., Ltd. (Dongfeng Motor) and certain of its affiliates for \$124 plus \$6 of transaction costs. Combined with the 4% interest purchased in June 2007, we now own 50% of the registered capital of DDAC. This investment is being accounted for under the equity method

During the second quarter of 2012, we finalized the valuation of our additional investment in DDAC. Measurement period adjustments included a \$4 reduction of our investment in DDAC as a result of the decrease in fair value of the contingent consideration. In addition, the allocation of the investment fair value to amortizable intangible assets, property, plant and equipment and deferred tax liabilities increased \$13, \$1 and \$4 respectively. The measurement period adjustments reduced the fair value allocated to goodwill by \$14. Equity in earnings of affiliates for the second quarter of 2012 includes \$1 of amortization expense resulting from the measurement period adjustments. The amortization resulting from the measurement period adjustments was deemed not to be material to retrospectively adjust prior periods.

Our additional investment, inclusive of fees and contingent consideration, was recorded at its fair value of \$131, an excess of \$66 over the corresponding DDAC book value. This fair value increase has been allocated as follows: (1) amortizable intangible assets of \$31; (2) property, plant and equipment of \$17; (3) inventories of \$1; (4) goodwill of \$28; and (5) deferred tax liabilities of \$11. The increase in basis related to property, plant and equipment is being depreciated on a straight-line basis over the remaining useful lives of the assets ranging from 10 to 45 years. The amortizable intangible assets are being amortized on a straight-line basis over the remaining useful lives of the assets ranging from five to 10 years.

Axles India — On June 30, 2011, we acquired the axle drive head and final assembly business of our Axles India Limited (AIL) equity affiliate for \$13. This business is reported in our Commercial Vehicle segment. This transaction is being accounted for as a business combination. The aggregate fair value of the net assets acquired equals the \$13 paid to AIL and has been allocated as follows: accounts receivable of \$1; inventory of \$3; equipment of \$3; amortizable intangible assets of \$11; and accounts payable and other accrued liabilities of \$5. The amortizable intangible assets are being amortized on a straight-line basis over eight years.

Dana Rexroth Transmission Systems — In October 2011, we formed a 50/50 joint venture with Bosch Rexroth to develop and manufacture advanced powersplit drive transmissions for the off-highway market. We contributed \$8 to the venture in 2011 and are accounting for our investment under the equity method. Both Dana and Bosch Rexroth contributed an additional \$1 million to the venture in the third quarter of 2012.

Fallbrook — On September 10, 2012, we entered into a strategic alliance with Fallbrook Technologies Inc. (Fallbrook). Among the agreements executed is an exclusive license agreement allowing Dana to engineer, produce and sell transmission components and other advanced powertrain solutions with Fallbrook's continuously variable planetary (CVP) technology for passenger and certain off-highway vehicles in the end markets Dana serves. The exclusive license agreement, along with an engineering services agreement and key engineers hired from Fallbrook, provide Dana with intellectual property, processes, techniques, technical data, training, designs and drawings related to the development, application, use, manufacture and production of the CVP technology. The transaction with Fallbrook is being accounted for as a business combination.

Dana will pay Fallbrook \$15 under the exclusive license agreement for the markets currently licensed to Dana; \$7 was paid at closing and the remaining \$8 will be paid over the six month period following closing. The aggregate fair value of the assets acquired of \$15 has been allocated to intangible assets used in research and development activities which are initially classified as indefinite-lived. The purchase price allocation is based on preliminary valuation estimates and subject to adjustment as the valuations are finalized. The consideration to be paid to Fallbrook is subject to an adjustment if rights to additional specified markets are exclusively licensed to Dana and Dana accepts such licensed rights within the twelve month period following closing.

Divestiture of GETRAG Entities — On September 30, 2011, we completed the divestitures of our 49% equity interest in GETRAG Corporation and our 42% equity interest in GETRAG Dana Holding GmbH (together the GETRAG Entities) for \$136. A \$60 gain was recorded in the third quarter of 2011 in connection with the divestitures and included in other income, net.

Divestiture of Structural Products business — In December 2009, we signed an agreement to sell substantially all of the assets of our Structural Products business to Metalsa S.A. de C.V. (Metalsa). The agreement excluded the facility in Longview, Texas and the employees and manufacturing assets related to a significant customer contract. The activities related to that customer contract, which precluded presentation of the divested business as discontinued operations, concluded in August 2012. Accordingly, the Structural Products business, including the activities of the Longview facility, is now presented as discontinued operations in the accompanying financial statements. See Note 3 for additional information related to our discontinued operations.

We had received cash proceeds of \$134 through the end of 2011, excluding amounts related to working capital adjustments and tooling. Approximately \$12 remains as a receivable with a corresponding amount held in escrow. The funds held in escrow were to be released to Dana by June 2012; however, the buyer presented claims to the escrow agent in September 2011 seeking indemnification from Dana. The escrow agent is precluded from releasing the funds held in escrow until Dana and the buyer resolve the issues underlying the claims. We are evaluating the claims and do not presently believe that any obligation to indemnify the buyer will be material.

In connection with the sale, leases covering three U.S. facilities were assigned to a U.S. affiliate of Metalsa. Under the terms of the sale agreement, we will guarantee the affiliate's performance under the leases, which run through June 2025, including approximately \$6 of annual payments. In the event of a required payment by Dana as guarantor, we are entitled to pursue full recovery from Metalsa of the amounts paid under the guarantee and to take possession of the leased property.

Other — We completed the divestiture of our axle, differential and brake systems business serving the leisure, all-terrain and utility vehicle markets in August 2012. The total proceeds to be received of \$8 approximated the net assets of the business following an asset impairment charge of \$2 recorded in the first quarter of 2012. Sales of the divested business approximated \$53 in 2011 and \$37 in 2012 through the date of the disposition.

# **Note 3. Discontinued Operations**

In 2010, we completed the sale of substantially all of the assets of our Structural Products business to Metalsa S.A. de C.V. (Metalsa), the largest vehicle frame and structures supplier in Mexico. The agreement excluded the facility in Longview, Texas and the employees and manufacturing assets related to a significant customer contract. The customer contract was satisfied and operations concluded in August 2012. As a result of the cessation of all operations, the former Structural Products business is now presented as discontinued operations in the accompanying financial statements.

The results of the discontinued operations were as follows:

	Three Mor Septem		Nine Mon Septem	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Sales	\$ 8	\$ 12	\$ 34	\$ 36
Cost of sales	7	13	30	37
Restructuring expense	1	2	3	5
Other expense, net		2	1	3
Pre-tax loss	_	(5)	 _	 (9)
Income tax benefit		1		2
Loss from discontinued operations	\$ -	\$ (4)	\$ _	\$ (7)

The carrying value of the Longview facility and a previously closed plant in Canada approximated \$11 at September 30, 2012. The other assets and liabilities related to the discontinued operations at that date were not material.

# Note 4. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill — Our goodwill is assigned to our Off-Highway segment. The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill are due to currency fluctuations.

# Components of other intangible assets —

	Weighted		Sep	tember 30, 2012					Dece	ember 31, 2011							
	Average Useful Life (years)	Gross Accumulated Net Carrying Impairment and Carrying Amount Amortization Amount		Impairment and		Impairment and		Impairment and		Impairment and		Impairment and		Gross Carrying Amount		accumulated apairment and amortization	 Net Carrying Amount
Amortizable intangible assets																	
Core technology	7	\$ 92	\$	(66)	\$	26	\$	92	\$	(55)	\$ 37						
Trademarks and trade names	16	4		(1)		3		4		(1)	3						
Customer relationships	8	535		(303)		232		545		(250)	295						
Non-amortizable intangible assets																	
Trademarks and trade names		65				65		65			65						
Used in research and development																	
activities		 15				15											
		\$ 711	\$	(370)	\$	341	\$	706	\$	(306)	\$ 400						

The net carrying amounts of intangible assets, other than goodwill, attributable to each of our operating segments at September 30, 2012 were as follows: Light Vehicle Driveline (LVD) — \$22, Power Technologies — \$30, Commercial Vehicle — \$196 and Off-Highway — \$93.

Amortization expense related to amortizable intangible assets —

	Three Mor Septem		Nine Mon Septem	 	
	 2012	2011	 2012	2011	
Charged to cost of sales	\$ 4	\$ 3	\$ 10	\$ 1	10
Charged to amortization of intangibles	18	20	56	ŗ	58
Total amortization	\$ 22	\$ 23	\$ 66	\$ (	68

The following table provides the estimated aggregate pre-tax amortization expense related to intangible assets for each of the next five years based on September 30, 2012 exchange rates. Actual amounts may differ from these estimates due to such factors as currency translation, customer turnover, impairments, additional intangible asset acquisitions and other events.

	Rem	nainder						
	of	2012	 2013	 2014	 2015		 2016	
	'						 	
Amortization expense	\$	22	\$ 87	\$ 50	\$	23	\$	21

# Note 5. Restructuring of Operations

Our restructuring activities primarily include rationalizing our operating footprint by consolidating facilities, positioning operations in lower cost locations and reducing overhead costs. Restructuring expense includes costs associated with current and previously announced actions and is comprised of contractual and noncontractual separation costs and exit costs, including costs associated with lease continuation obligations and certain operating costs of facilities that we are in the process of closing. We classify the incremental depreciation associated with a planned closure as accelerated depreciation/impairment and also include this cost in restructuring expense.

During the third quarter of 2012, we implemented certain cost reduction programs, including a headcount reduction program at certain of our South American manufacturing operations and the realignment of certain of our North American regional operations. Total restructuring expense in the third quarter of 2012 to recognize these costs as well as costs associated with previously announced initiatives, including \$1 of costs associated with discontinued operations, was \$7 and included \$4 of severance and related benefit costs, \$2 of exit costs and \$1 of accelerated depreciation/impairment cost.

During the first and second quarters of 2012, we implemented and recognized the costs of specific headcount reduction initiatives, primarily associated with certain of our South American operations. Additionally, as planned, we exited our Commercial Vehicle facility in Kalamazoo, Michigan in June 2012 and recognized the fair value of the associated lease continuation obligation. Total restructuring expense in the first nine months of 2012 to recognize the costs of these actions as well as costs associated with other previously announced initiatives, including \$3 of costs associated with discontinued operations, was \$33 and included \$15 of severance and related benefits costs, \$16 of exit costs and \$2 of accelerated depreciation/impairment cost.

During the first quarter of 2011, we reached an agreement with the lessor to settle the lease associated with our LVD facility in Yennora, Australia. Under the terms of the agreement, we recognized \$20 of lease termination costs. Additionally, we approved the realignment of several manufacturing operations, including the closure of our LVD manufacturing facility in Marion, Indiana. During the second quarter of 2011, we incurred pension settlement costs associated with the previously announced closure of certain of our Canadian operations (see Note 8). Including \$2 of costs associated with discontinued operations, restructuring expense of \$24 during the third quarter of 2011 was primarily attributable to costs associated with the planned closure of certain U.S. plants and work force reductions in certain corporate and functional areas in North America. Costs associated with these programs and with previously announced initiatives, including \$5 of costs associated with discontinued operations, were \$65 during the first nine months of 2011, including \$23 of severance and related benefit costs, \$40 of exit costs and \$2 of accelerated depreciation/impairment cost.

Restructuring charges and related payments and adjustments —

	Employee		Accelerated		
	Termination	I	Depreciation/	Exit	
	Benefits		Impairment	Costs	Total
Balance at June 30, 2012	\$ 29	\$		\$ 13	\$ 42
Activity during the period:					
Charges to restructuring	4			2	6
Discontinued operations charges			1		1
Non-cash write-off			(1)		(1)
Cash payments	(6)			(2)	(8)
Balance at September 30, 2012	\$ 27	\$	-	\$ 13	\$ 40
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$ 30	\$	-	\$ 3	\$ 33
Activity during the period:					
Charges to restructuring	16			15	31
Adjustments of accruals	(1)				(1)
Discontinued operations charges			2	1	3
Non-cash write-off			(2)		(2)
Cash payments	(18)			(6)	(24)
Balance at September 30, 2012	\$ 27	\$	-	\$ 13	\$ 40

At September 30, 2012, the accrued employee termination benefits relate to the reduction of approximately 900 employees to be completed over the next two years. The exit costs relate primarily to lease continuation obligations. We estimate cash expenditures to approximate \$14 in the fourth quarter of 2012 and \$26 thereafter.

Cost to complete — The following table provides project-to-date and estimated future expenses for completion of our pending restructuring initiatives.

	Expense Recognized								
	P	rior to				Total		Cost to	
		2012		2012		to Date		Complete	
LVD	\$	23	\$	5	\$	28	\$	11	
Power Technologies		12		1		13		4	
Commercial Vehicle		22		22		44		10	
Off-Highway		8				8		2	
Corporate		6		2		8			
Discontinued operations		2		3		5		3	
Total	\$	73	\$	33	\$	106	\$	30	

The future cost to complete includes estimated separation costs, primarily those associated with one-time benefit programs, and exit costs, including lease continuation costs, equipment transfers and other costs which are required to be recognized as closures are finalized or as incurred during the closure.

# Note 6. Earnings per Share

Reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of the earnings per share calculations —

	 Three Mor Septem			Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2012		2011		2012		2011
Income from continuing operations	\$ 60	\$	116	\$	222	\$	164
Less: Noncontrolling interests	4		2		10		9
Less: Preferred stock dividend requirements	8		8		23		23
Income from continuing operations available to common stockholders -							
Numerator basic	48		106		189		132
Preferred stock dividend requirements	8		8		23		23
Numerator diluted	\$ 56	\$	114	\$	212	\$	155
		_				_	
Net income available to common stockholders - Numerator basic	\$ 48	\$	102	\$	189	\$	125
Preferred stock dividend requirements	8		8		23		23
Numerator diluted	\$ 56	\$	110	\$	212	\$	148
Weighted-average number of shares outstanding - Denominator basic	148.1		147.1		147.8		146.3
Employee compensation-related shares, including stock options	1.7		2.8		2.2		3.5
Conversion of preferred stock	64.7		64.7		64.7		65.5
Denominator diluted	214.5	_	214.6		214.7	_	215.3

The share count for diluted earnings per share is computed on the basis of the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding plus the effects of dilutive common stock equivalents (CSEs) outstanding during the period. We excluded 1.6 million and 0.5 million CSEs from the calculations of diluted earnings per share for the quarters ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 and 1.1 million and 0.5 million CSEs from the calculations of diluted earnings per share for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 as the effect of including them would have been anti-dilutive.

### **Note 7. Stock Compensation**

Our stockholders approved the 2012 Omnibus Incentive Plan (the Plan) at the April 2012 stockholder meeting, replacing the 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan (the Prior Plan). The Plan authorizes grants of stock awards through April 2022 and provides for the issuance of up to 5.0 million shares of common stock in addition to the 1.9 million remaining shares available under the Prior Plan. Cash-settled awards do not count against the maximum share issuance of the Plan.

The Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors approved the grant of stock options, stock appreciation rights (SARs), restricted stock units (RSUs) and performance share units (PSUs) shown in the table below during the first nine months of 2012.

		Weighte							
	Granted	Granted Exercise							
	(In millions)		Fair Value						
Stock options	0.7	\$	15.99	\$	7.91				
SARs	0.2	\$	15.85	\$	7.84				
RSUs	0.6			\$	15.86				
PSUs	0.1			\$	16.00				

Stock options and SARs related to 0.8 million shares were exercised and 0.1 million shares were forfeited in 2012. We received \$1 of cash from the exercise of stock options and we paid \$2 of cash to settle SARs and RSUs during 2012. We also issued 0.2 million in RSUs based on vesting.

We estimated fair values for options and SARs granted during 2012 using the following key assumptions as part of the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The expected term was estimated using the simplified method because the limited period of time our common stock has been publicly traded provides insufficient historical exercise data. The dividend yield was calculated by dividing the expected annual dividend by the average stock price of our common stock over the prior year. The expected volatility was estimated using a combination of the historical volatility of similar entities and the implied volatility of our exchange-traded options.

	Options	SARs
Expected term (in years)	6.00	6.00
Risk-free interest rate	1.24%	1.24%
Dividend yield	1.33%	1.33%
Expected volatility	59.90%	59.90%

We recognized stock compensation expense of \$4 and \$1 during the third quarter of 2012 and 2011 and \$14 and \$9 during the respective nine-month periods. At September 30, 2012, the total unrecognized compensation cost related to the nonvested equity awards granted and expected to vest was \$19. This cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.8 years.

# Note 8. Pension and Postretirement Benefit Plans

We have a number of defined contribution and defined benefit, qualified and nonqualified, pension plans covering eligible employees. Other postretirement benefits (OPEB), including medical and life insurance, are provided for certain employees upon retirement.

	20:		20	11		OPEB - Non-U.S.				
Three Months Ended September 30,	 U.S.	Non-U.S.		U.S.		Non-U.S.		2012		2011
Interest cost	\$ 21	\$	3	\$ 23	\$	3	\$	1	\$	1
Expected return on plan assets	(28)			(26)						
Service cost			1			1				
Amortization of net actuarial loss	4			5						
										_
Net periodic (benefit) cost	\$ (3)	\$	4	\$ 2	\$	4	\$	1	\$	1
							_			
Nine Months Ended September 30,										
Interest cost	\$ 64	\$	9	\$ 69	\$	10	\$	4	\$	5
Expected return on plan assets	(84)		(1)	(78)		(2)				
Service cost			3			4				
Amortization of net actuarial loss	11			15						
Settlement loss						5				
Net periodic (benefit) cost	\$ (9)	\$	11	\$ 6	\$	17	\$	4	\$	5

In January 2012, we made a voluntary contribution of \$150 to the U.S. pension plans. Through the end of September 2012, we have contributed an additional \$48 to the U.S. pension plans.

In January 2012, in accordance with our policy, we changed the amortization period related to deferred losses in accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI) from the average remaining service period of active participants to the average remaining life expectancy of inactive participants for one of our U.S. plans as a result of almost all of the plan's participants being inactive.

During the third quarter of 2012, we recorded a \$6 charge to other comprehensive income (OCI) for the prior service cost of a plan amendment resulting from a change in the Venezuelan labor code. The prior service cost will be amortized as a component of net periodic pension cost over the future service periods of active participants.

As a result of the closure of several facilities in Canada, we are required to settle the related pension obligations. During the first half of 2011, we settled portions of our Canadian pension benefit obligations by making lump-sum payments or by purchasing non-participating annuity contracts to cover vested benefits. As a result of these actions, we reduced the benefit obligations by \$77 and also reduced the fair value of plan assets by \$77. The related settlement loss of \$5 representing the recognition of a portion of the actuarial loss deferred in AOCI was included in restructuring charges.

# Note 9. Stockholders' Equity

Series A and Series B preferred stock — Dividends on our 4.0% Series A Convertible Preferred Stock and 4.0% Series B Convertible Preferred Stock (preferred stock) are accrued monthly and are payable in cash as approved by the Board of Directors. Preferred dividends of \$8 were accrued at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

Common stock — Our Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of five cents per share of common stock in each of the first three quarters of 2012. Aggregate 2012 declared and paid dividends total \$22. Dividends accrue on RSUs granted under our stock compensation program and will be paid in cash or additional units only when the underlying units vest.

#### Changes in equity —

				2012		2011							
				Attributable			Attributable						
				to Non-			to Non-						
		tributable		controlling		Total	Attributable			controlling		Total	
Three Months Ended September 30,	te	o Parent		Interests	Equity		to Parent		Interests			Equity	
	•		•		_								
Balance, June 30	\$	1,851	\$	99	\$	1,950	\$	1,821	\$	104	\$	1,925	
Total comprehensive income (loss)		83		6		89		(63)				(63)	
Preferred stock dividends		(8)				(8)		(8)				(8)	
Common stock dividends		(7)				(7)							
Dividends attributable to noncontrolling interests				(1)		(1)				(2)		(2)	
Stock compensation		4				4		4				4	
Ending Balance, September 30	\$	1,923	\$	104	\$	2,027	\$	1,754	\$	102	\$	1,856	
Nine Months Ended September 30,													
Balance, December 31	\$	1,737	\$	101	\$	1,838	\$	1,687	\$	99	\$	1,786	
Total comprehensive income		219		12		231		74		8		82	
Preferred stock dividends		(23)				(23)		(23)				(23)	
Common stock dividends		(22)				(22)							
Dividends attributable to noncontrolling interests				(9)		(9)				(5)		(5)	
Stock compensation		13		` '		(9) 13		19		` ′		(5) 19	
Stock withheld for employee taxes		(1)				(1)		(3)				(3)	
Ending Balance, September 30	\$	1,923	\$	104	\$	2,027	\$	1,754	\$	102	\$	1,856	

#### **Note 10. Marketable Securities**

	September 30, 2012									December 31, 2011					
				Unrealized		Fair					Fair				
		Cost		Gains		Value			Cost		Value				
U.S. government securities	\$	10	\$	-	\$		10	\$	10	\$	10				
Corporate securities		12					12		8		8				
Certificates of deposit		18					18		13		13				
Other		23		1			24		25		25				
Total marketable securities	\$	63	\$	1	\$		64	\$	56	\$	56				

U.S. government securities include bonds issued by government-sponsored agencies and Treasury notes. Corporate securities include both debt and equity securities. Other consists of investments in mutual and index funds. U.S. government securities, corporate debt and certificates of deposit maturing in one year or less, after one year through five years and after five years total \$22, \$13 and \$4 at September 30, 2012.

Proceeds from liquidating available-for-sale marketable securities prior to their scheduled maturities in the three and nine months ended September 30 were zero and \$1 in 2012 and \$19 and \$26 in 2011. The related gains and losses realized on this activity were not significant.

# **Note 11. Financing Agreements**

Senior notes — In January 2011, we completed an offering of senior unsecured notes (the Senior Notes) which generated net proceeds of \$733. These proceeds, together with available cash of \$127, were used to repay in full all amounts then outstanding under our term facility. The aggregate principal amount of the Senior Notes is \$750, with \$400 at a fixed interest rate of 6.50% maturing in 2019 and \$350 at a fixed rate of 6.75% maturing in 2021. At September 30, 2012, we had \$750 principal amount of Senior Notes outstanding. The weighted-average interest rate on the Senior Notes was 6.62%. Interest on the notes is payable semi-annually on February 15 and August 15.

Revolving facility — We maintain a revolving credit agreement from lenders permitting aggregate borrowings of up to \$500. The revolving credit agreement bears interest at a floating rate based on, at our option, the base rate or London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) (each as described in the revolving credit agreement) plus a margin based on the undrawn amounts available under the agreement. Commitment fees are applied based on the average daily unused portion of the available amounts under the facility. If the average daily use is less than 50%, the applicable fee will be 0.50% per annum. If the average daily unused portion of the facility is equal to or greater than 50%, the applicable fee will be 0.625% per annum. Up to \$300 of the facility may be applied to letters of credit, which reduces availability. We pay a fee for issued and undrawn letters of credit in an amount per annum equal to the applicable LIBOR margin based on a quarterly average availability under the facility and a per annum fronting fee of 0.25%, payable quarterly. There were no borrowings under the facility at September 30, 2012 but we had utilized \$66 for letters of credit. Based on our borrowing base collateral of \$393, we had potential availability at September 30, 2012 under the revolving facility of \$327 after deducting the outstanding letters of credit. The facility expires in February 2016.

European receivables loan facility — Certain of our European subsidiaries participate in an accounts receivable backed credit facility which permits borrowings of up to €75 (\$96 at the September 30, 2012 exchange rate). Availability under the program is subject to the existence of adequate levels of supporting accounts receivable. As of September 30, 2012, we had potential availability of \$91 based on the effective borrowing base. Advances under the program will bear interest based on the LIBOR applicable to the currency in which each advance is denominated or an Alternate Base Rate (as defined). All advances are to be repaid in full by March 2016. We pay a fee on any unused amount of the program, in addition to other customary fees. At September 30, 2012, we had no borrowings under this program.

*Debt covenants* — At September 30, 2012, we were in compliance with the covenants of our debt agreements. Under the revolving facility and the Senior Notes, we are required to comply with certain incurrence-based covenants customary for facilities of these types.

The incurrence-based covenants in the revolving facility permit us to, among other things, (i) issue foreign subsidiary indebtedness, (ii) incur general secured indebtedness and (iii) incur additional unsecured debt so long as the pro forma minimum fixed charge coverage ratio is at least 1.1:1.0. We may also make dividend payments in respect of our common stock as well as certain investments and acquisitions so long as there is (i) at least \$125 of pro forma excess borrowing availability or (ii) at least \$75 of pro forma excess borrowing availability and the pro forma minimum fixed charge coverage ratio is at least 1.1:1.0. The indenture governing the Senior Notes includes similar incurrence-based covenants that may subject us to additional specified limitations.

#### Note 12. Fair Value Measurements and Derivatives

In measuring the fair value of our assets and liabilities, we use market data or assumptions that we believe market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability including assumptions about risk when appropriate. Our valuation techniques include a combination of observable and unobservable inputs.

Fair value measurements on a recurring basis — Assets and liabilities that are carried in our balance sheet at fair value are as follows:

		Fair Value Measurements Using							
		 Quoted							
		Prices in		Significant		Significant			
		Active		Inputs		Inputs			
		Markets		Observable	U	Jnobservable			
September 30, 2012	Total	(Level 1)		(Level 2)		(Level 3)			
Notes receivable - noncurrent asset	\$ 126	\$	- \$	-	\$	126			
Marketable securities - current asset	64	36	5	28					
Currency forward contracts - current asset	6			6					
Currency forward contracts - current liability	2			2					
December 31, 2011									
Notes receivable - noncurrent asset	\$ 116	\$	- \$	-	\$	116			
Marketable securities - current asset	56	33	3	23					
Currency forward contracts - current asset	1			1					
Currency forward contracts - current liability	16			16					

Fair value of Senior Notes and other indebtedness – The fair value of our Senior Notes is estimated based upon a market approach (Level 2) and was \$805 and \$765 at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. The fair value of our other indebtedness is based upon an income approach (Level 2) and was \$116 and \$103 at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

*Foreign currency derivatives* — The total notional amounts of outstanding foreign currency forward contracts as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were \$233 and \$213 comprised of currency forward contracts involving the exchange of various currencies.

The following currency forward contracts were outstanding at September 30, 2012 and are primarily associated with forecasted transactions involving the purchases and sales of inventory through the next twelve months:

		)					
	Design	ated as					
	Cash	Flow					
Traded Currency	Hec	lges	Undesi	gnated		Total	Maturity
Mexican peso	\$	97	\$	-	\$	97	Sep-13
U.S. dollar, Canadian dollar,							
Hungarian forint, Japanese yen,							
British pound		43		1		44	Sep-13
U.S. dollar, Euro		44		1		45	Sep-13
Euro		12		7		19	Sep-13
U.S. dollar		8		4		12	Sep-13
U.S. dollar, British							
pound, Euro				16		16	Jul-13
	\$	204	\$	29	\$	233	
	Mexican peso U.S. dollar, Canadian dollar, Hungarian forint, Japanese yen, British pound U.S. dollar, Euro Euro U.S. dollar U.S. dollar	Cash Traded Currency  Mexican peso U.S. dollar, Canadian dollar, Hungarian forint, Japanese yen, British pound U.S. dollar, Euro Euro U.S. dollar U.S. dollar	Designated as Cash Flow Hedges  Mexican peso \$97 U.S. dollar, Canadian dollar, Hungarian forint, Japanese yen, British pound 43 U.S. dollar, Euro 44 Euro 12 U.S. dollar British pound, Euro 8	Designated as Cash Flow Hedges Undesi Mexican peso U.S. dollar, Canadian dollar, Hungarian forint, Japanese yen, British pound U.S. dollar, Euro 44 Euro 12 U.S. dollar U.S. dollar, British pound, Euro	Designated as Cash Flow Traded Currency Hedges  Undesignated  Mexican peso  U.S. dollar, Canadian dollar, Hungarian forint, Japanese yen, British pound  U.S. dollar, Euro  10  11  11  12  13  14  15  15  16  16  16  16  17  18  18  18  18  18  18  18  18  18	Designated as Cash Flow Hedges Undesignated  Mexican peso U.S. dollar, Canadian dollar, Hungarian forint, Japanese yen, British pound U.S. dollar, Euro 43 1 U.S. dollar, Euro 12 7 U.S. dollar U.S. dollar U.S. dollar U.S. dollar Euro 12 7 U.S. dollar 15 Euro 16	Cash Flow Hedges  Traded Currency Hedges  97 U.S. dollar, Canadian dollar, Hungarian forint, Japanese yen, British pound U.S. dollar, Euro  43 1 44 U.S. dollar, Euro 12 7 19 U.S. dollar U.S. dollar U.S. dollar U.S. dollar U.S. dollar

Cash flow hedges — With respect to contracts designated as cash flow hedges, changes in fair value during the period in which the contracts remain outstanding are reported in OCI to the extent such contracts remain effective. Changes in fair value of those contracts that are not designated as cash flow hedges are reported in income in the period in which the changes occur. Forward contracts associated with product-related transactions are marked to market in cost of sales while other contracts are marked to market through other income, net. Amounts recorded in OCI are ultimately reclassified to earnings in the same periods in which the underlying transactions affect earnings.

Amounts to be reclassified to earnings — Deferred gains of \$2 at September 30, 2012, which are reported in AOCI, are expected to be reclassified to earnings during the next twelve months. Amounts expected to be reclassified to earnings assume no change in the current hedge relationships or to September 30, 2012 market rates. Deferred losses at December 31, 2011 were \$13, of which \$1 and \$7 have been reclassified from AOCI to earnings in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. The remainder of the change in the amounts deferred in AOCI is primarily attributable to the fluctuation of the U.S. dollar against the Mexican peso during 2012.

Changes in Level 3 recurring fair value measurements —

	Three Months Ended					Nine Months Ended				
		Septem	iber 3	0,		Septem	ıber 3	30,		
Notes receivable	2	012		2011		2012		2011		
Beginning of period	\$	122	\$	109	\$	116	\$	103		
Accretion of value (interest income)		4		3		11		9		
Unrealized loss (OCI)				(2)				(2)		
Other						(1)				
End of period	\$	126	\$	110	\$	126	\$	110		

Substantially all of the notes receivable balance consists of a callable note, due 2019, obtained in connection with a divestiture in 2004. The fair value of the note is derived using a discounted cash flow technique and capped at the callable value. The discount rate used in the calculation is the current yield of the publically traded debt of the operating subsidiary of the obligor, adjusted by a 250 basis point risk premium. The significant unobservable input used to fair value the note is the risk premium. A significant increase in the risk premium may result in a lower fair value measurement. A significant decrease in the risk premium would not result in a significantly higher fair value measurement due to the callable value cap. The fair value of the note at September 30, 2011 was \$2 lower than the callable value.

Fair value measurements on a nonrecurring basis — In addition to items that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis, we also have long-lived assets that may be measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. These assets include intangible assets and property, plant and equipment which may be written down to fair value as a result of impairment.

#### Note 13. Commitments and Contingencies

Asbestos personal injury liabilities — We had approximately 25,000 active pending asbestos personal injury liability claims at September 30, 2012 versus 26,000 such claims at December 31, 2011. In addition, approximately 1,000 mostly inactive claims have been settled and are awaiting final documentation and dismissal, with or without payment. We have accrued \$80 for indemnity and defense costs for settled, pending and future claims at September 30, 2012, compared to \$89 at December 31, 2011. We use a fifteen-year time horizon for our estimate of this liability.

At September 30, 2012, we had recorded \$51 as an asset for probable recovery from our insurers for the pending and projected asbestos personal injury liability claims, compared to \$53 recorded at December 31, 2011. The recorded asset represents our assessment of the capacity of our current insurance agreements to provide for the payment of anticipated defense and indemnity costs for pending claims and projected future demands. The recognition of these recoveries is based on our assessment of our right to recover under the respective contracts and on the financial strength of the insurers. We have coverage agreements in place with our insurers confirming substantially all of the related coverage and payments are being received on a timely basis. The financial strength of these insurers is reviewed at least annually with the assistance of a third party. The recorded asset does not represent the limits of our insurance coverage, but rather the amount we would expect to recover if we paid the accrued indemnity and defense costs.

During the second quarter of 2011, we reached an agreement with an insurer to settle a long-standing claim pending in the liquidation proceedings of the insurer and recorded the estimated fair value of the recovery. As a result, other income includes a \$6 credit for this recovery of past outlays related to asbestos claims.

Other product liabilities — We had accrued \$1 for non-asbestos product liability costs at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, with no recovery expected from third parties at either date. We estimate these liabilities based on assumptions about the value of the claims and about the likelihood of recoveries against us derived from our historical experience and current information.

*Environmental liabilities* — Accrued environmental liabilities were \$12 at September 30, 2012 and \$13 at December 31, 2011. We consider the most probable method of remediation, current laws and regulations and existing technology in determining the fair value of our environmental liabilities. Other accounts receivable included a related recoverable from an insurer of \$2 at both dates.

Bankruptcy claims resolution — Dana and forty of its wholly-owned subsidiaries (collectively, the Debtors) reorganized under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code (Chapter 11) from March 3, 2006 until emergence on January 31, 2008 (the Effective Date). On the Effective Date, we consummated the Third Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization of Debtors and Debtors in Possession as modified and emerged from Chapter 11. The last of the common shares reserved for holders of allowed unsecured nonpriority claims were distributed during the third quarter of 2011. On February 16, 2012, a final decree was issued and the bankruptcy case was closed.

Other legal matters — We are subject to various pending or threatened legal proceedings arising out of the normal course of business or operations. In view of the inherent difficulty of predicting the outcome of such matters, we cannot state what the eventual outcome of these matters will be. However, based on current knowledge and after consultation with legal counsel, we believe that the liabilities that may result from these proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on our liquidity, financial condition or results of operations.

## **Note 14. Warranty Obligations**

We record a liability for estimated warranty obligations at the dates our products are sold. We record the liability based on our estimate of costs to settle future claims. Adjustments are made as new information becomes available.

Changes in warranty liabilities —

	Three Mor Septem	 	Nine Months Ended September 30,				
	2012	2011		2012		2011	
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 77	\$ 92	\$	72	\$		85
Amounts accrued for current period sales	3	8		18			33
Adjustments of prior accrual estimates	1	(3)		4			(2)
Settlements of warranty claims	(9)	(8)		(22)			(31)
Currency impact	1	(5)		1			(1)
Balance, end of period	\$ 73	\$ 84	\$	73	\$		84

We have been notified of an alleged quality issue at a foreign subsidiary of Dana that produced engine coolers for a unit of Sogefi SpA that were used in modules supplied to Volkswagen. Based on the information currently available to us, we do not believe that this matter will result in a material liability to Dana.

On July 27, 2012, Ford Motor Company filed a complaint alleging quality issues relating to products supplied by the former Structural Products business at Dana Canada Corporation. The Dana Canada facility was closed in 2008 and Dana Holding Corporation divested substantially all of the Structural Products business in 2010. Based on the information currently available to us, we do not believe this matter will result in a material liability to Dana.

#### Note 15. Income Taxes

We estimate the effective tax rate expected to be applicable for the full fiscal year and use that rate to provide for income taxes in interim reporting periods. We also recognize the tax impact of certain unusual or infrequently occurring items, including changes in judgment about valuation allowances and effects of changes in tax laws or rates, in the interim period in which they occur.

We reported income tax expense related to our continuing operations of \$33 and \$30 for the quarters ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 and \$97 and \$93 for the respective nine-month periods. The income tax rate for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 approximates the U.S. federal statutory rate of 35%. The effective rates for the other periods vary from the 35% rate due to valuation allowances in several countries, nondeductible expenses, different statutory tax rates outside the U.S. and withholding taxes related to repatriations of international earnings to the U.S.

We record interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions as a component of income tax expense or benefit. Net interest expense for the periods presented herein is not significant.

We provide for U.S. federal income and non-U.S. withholding taxes on the earnings of our non-U.S. operations that are not considered to be permanently reinvested. We analyze and adjust the estimated tax impact of the income and non-U.S. withholding liabilities based on the amount and source of these earnings. We recognized expense of \$2 and \$1 for the quarters ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 and \$6 and \$1 for the respective nine-month periods related to future income taxes and non-U.S. withholding taxes on repatriations from operations that are not permanently reinvested.

We have generally not recognized tax benefits on losses generated in several entities, including those in the U.S., where the recent history of operating losses does not allow us to satisfy the "more likely than not" criterion for the recognition of deferred tax assets. Consequently, there is no income tax expense or benefit recognized on the pre-tax income or losses in these jurisdictions as valuation allowances are adjusted to offset the associated tax effects. We believe that it is reasonably possible that valuation allowances of \$52 will be released in the next twelve months.

#### Note 16. Other Income, Net

	 Three Mo Septer		 Nine Mon Septem			
	2012		2011	2012		2011
Interest income	\$ 6	\$	7	\$ 17	\$	20
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	(2)		12	(12)		10
Loss on extinguishment of debt						(53)
Gain on sale of equity investments			60			60
Strategic transaction expenses	(4)		(1)	(8)		(3)
Impairment of long-lived assets			(5)	(2)		(5)
Other	2		6	14		22
Other income, net	\$ 2	\$	79	\$ 9	\$	51

Foreign exchange gains and losses on cross-currency intercompany loan balances that are not considered permanently invested are reported above. Foreign exchange gains and losses on loans that are permanently invested are reported in OCI.

The loss on extinguishment of debt resulted from writing off the original issue discount and previously deferred financing fees in connection with refinancing our long-term debt and modifying our credit facilities in the first quarter of 2011.

As discussed in Note 2 above, the gain on sale of equity investments resulted from the divestiture of our interests in the GETRAG Entities.

As discussed in Note 13 above, a recovery finalized in the second quarter of 2011 of past outlays related to asbestos claims resulted in a \$6 credit to other income, net.

# Note 17. Segments

The components that management establishes for purposes of making decisions about an enterprise's operating matters are referred to as "operating segments." We manage our operations globally through four operating segments: Light Vehicle Driveline, Commercial Vehicle, Off-Highway and Power Technologies.

We report the results of our operating segments and related disclosures about each of our segments on the basis that is used internally for evaluating segment performance and deciding how to allocate resources to those segments. The primary measure of operating results is segment EBITDA. The most significant impact on our ongoing results of operations as a result of applying fresh start accounting following our emergence from bankruptcy was higher depreciation and amortization. Management believes by using segment EBITDA, a performance measure which excludes depreciation and amortization, the comparability of results is enhanced. In addition, segment EBITDA is an important measure since the financial covenants in our debt agreements are based, in part, on EBITDA. Our segments are charged for corporate and other shared administrative costs.

Segment information —

		2012						2011						
	<u></u>			Inter-						Inter-				
Three Months Ended		External		Segment		Segment		External		Segment		Segment		
September 30,		Sales		Sales		EBITDA		Sales		Sales		EBITDA		
LVD	\$	659	\$	46	\$	68	\$	689	\$	50	\$	74		
Power Technologies		242		6		29		256		7		31		
Commercial Vehicle		471		34		45		611		34		61		
Off-Highway		343		12		48		385		15		42		
Eliminations and other				(98)				(1)		(106)				
Total	\$	1,715	\$	-	\$	190	\$	1,940	\$	-	\$	208		
			_											
Nine Months Ended														
September 30,														
LVD	\$	2,121	\$	148	\$	207	\$	2,016	\$	159	\$	200		
Power Technologies		772		17		106		792		21		108		
Commercial Vehicle		1,535		106		163		1,669		93		159		
Off-Highway		1,187		44		153		1,172		46		134		
Eliminations and other				(315)						(319)				
Total	\$	5,615	\$	-	\$	629	\$	5,649	\$	-	\$	601		
Eliminations and other	\$	,	\$	(315)	\$		\$	,	\$		\$			

	Three Mor Septem	 	Nine Mon Septem	-	
	2012	2011	2012		2011
Segment EBITDA	\$ 190	\$ 208	\$ 629	\$	601
Corporate expense and other items, net	(1)	(8)	(8)		(20)
Expenses previously allocated to Structures		(1)			(1)
Depreciation	(46)	(51)	(140)		(159)
Amortization of intangibles	(22)	(23)	(66)		(68)
Restructuring	(6)	(22)	(30)		(60)
Loss on extinguishment of debt					(53)
Gain on sale of equity investment		60			60
Strategic transaction and other expenses	(4)	(4)	(8)		(9)
Impairment and loss on sale of assets		(5)	(6)		(6)
Stock compensation expense	(3)	(1)	(12)		(5)
Foreign exchange on intercompany loans and market value adjustments					
on forwards	3		2		(1)
Interest expense	(22)	(20)	(63)		(59)
Interest income	6	7	17		20
Income from continuing operations before	 				
income taxes	95	140	315		240
Income tax expense	33	30	97		93
Equity in earnings of affiliates	(2)	6	4		17
Income from continuing operations	60	116	222		164
Loss from discontinued operations		(4)			(7)
Net income	\$ 60	\$ 112	\$ 222	\$	157

# **Note 18. Equity Affiliates**

We have a number of investments in entities that engage in the manufacture of vehicular parts — primarily axles, driveshafts and wheel-end braking systems — supplied to OEMs.

Equity method investments exceeding \$5 at September 30, 2012 —

	Ownership		
	Percentage	Inves	tment
Dongfeng Dana Axle Co., Ltd.	50%	\$	148
Bendix Spicer Foundation Brake, LLC	20%		32
Axles India Limited	48%		8
All others as a group	Various		13
Investments in equity affiliates			201
Investment in affiliates carried at cost	Various		2
Investment in affiliates		\$	203

	Three Mo	nths	Ended	Nine Months Ended					
	Septem	ıber	30,		Septem	ıber 3	30,		
	 2012		2011		2012		2011		
Sales	\$ 150	\$	217	\$	528	\$	719		
Gross profit	\$ 14	\$	28	\$	54	\$	72		
Pre-tax income		\$	5	\$	9	\$	27		
Net income		\$	5	\$	8	\$	24		
Dana's equity earnings in affiliate	\$ (1)	\$	1	\$	1	\$	2		

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (Dollars in millions)

Management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and accompanying notes in this report.

# **Forward-Looking Information**

Statements in this report (or otherwise made by us or on our behalf) that are not entirely historical constitute "forward-looking" statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such forward-looking statements are indicated by words such as "anticipates," "expects," "believes," "intends," "plans," "estimates," "projects," "outlook" and similar expressions. These statements represent the present expectations of Dana Holding Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries (Dana) based on our current information and assumptions. Forward-looking statements are inherently subject to risks and uncertainties. Our plans, actions and actual results could differ materially from our present expectations due to a number of factors, including those discussed below and elsewhere in this report and in our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). All forward-looking statements speak only as of the date made and we undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances that may arise after the date of this report.

# **Management Overview**

Dana is headquartered in Maumee, Ohio and was incorporated in Delaware in 2007. We are a global provider of high technology driveline, sealing and thermal-management products for virtually every major vehicle manufacturer in the on-highway and off-highway markets. Our driveline products – axles, driveshafts and transmissions – are delivered through our Light Vehicle Driveline (LVD), Commercial Vehicle and Off-Highway segments. Our fourth global segment – Power Products Technologies (Power Technologies) – is the center of excellence for the sealing and thermal technologies that span all customers in our on-highway and off-highway markets. We have a diverse customer base and broad geographic footprint which minimize our exposure to individual market and segment declines. At September 30, 2012, we employed approximately 24,500 people, operated in 27 countries and had 92 major manufacturing/distribution, engineering and office facilities around the world.

In the first nine months of 2012, 48% of our sales came from North American operations and 52% from operations throughout the rest of the world. Our sales by operating segment were LVD – 38%, Commercial Vehicle – 27%, Off-Highway – 21% and Power Technologies – 14%.

Our internet address is www.dana.com. The inclusion of our website address in this report is an inactive textual reference only and is not intended to include or incorporate by reference the information on our website into this report.

# **Operational and Strategic Initiatives**

During the past three years, we have significantly improved our financial condition — reducing debt, improving the profitability of customer programs and eliminating structural costs. We have also strengthened our leadership team and streamlined our operating segments to focus on our core competencies of driveline technologies, sealing systems and thermal management. As a result, we believe that we are well-positioned to put increasing focus on profitable growth.

While we intend to continue aggressively reducing cost and streamlining our business operations, our future strategy includes several growth initiatives directed at strengthening the competitiveness of our products through innovation and technology, geographic expansion, aftermarket opportunities and selective acquisitions.

Strengthening the competitiveness of our products — We are committed to making investments and diversifying our product offerings to strengthen our competitive position in our core driveline, sealing and thermal technologies. We have prioritized our focus on innovation around these core technologies because of the opportunities to create value for our customers through improved fuel efficiency, emission control, electric and hybrid electric solutions, durability and cost of ownership. Our September 2012 strategic alliance with Fallbrook Technologies Inc. (Fallbrook) will enable us to leverage leading edge continuously variable planetary (CVP) technology into the development of advanced driveline solutions for customers in certain of our end markets.

Additional engineering and operational investment is being channeled into reinvigorating our product portfolio and capitalizing on technology advancement opportunities. In 2010, we combined the North American engineering centers of our LVD and Commercial Vehicle segments, allowing us the opportunity to better share technologies among these businesses. In 2011, commitments to new engineering facilities in India and China are more than doubling our engineering presence in the Asia Pacific region with state-of-the-art design and test capabilities that globally support each of our businesses.

Geographic expansion — Although there are growth opportunities in each region, we have a primary focus in the Asia Pacific region, especially India and China. In addition to new engineering facilities in India and China, during the second quarter of 2011 a new hypoid gear manufacturing facility in India began production and we completed two transactions – increasing the ownership interest in our China-based joint venture with Dongfeng Motor Co., Ltd. (Dongfeng) to 50% and acquiring the axle drive head and final assembly business from our Axles India Limited (AIL) joint venture – which significantly increased our commercial vehicle driveline presence in the region. We have experienced considerable success in the China off-highway and industrial markets and we believe there is considerable opportunity for future growth in these markets. Earlier this year, we opened a business development office in Moscow, Russia to focus on expanding our business opportunities in this region. In South America, our strategic agreement with SIFCO S.A. (SIFCO) completed in February 2011 makes us the leading full driveline supplier in the South American commercial vehicle market.

Aftermarket opportunities — We have a global group dedicated to identifying and developing aftermarket growth opportunities that leverage the capabilities within our existing businesses — targeting increased future aftermarket revenues as a percent of consolidated sales.

Selective acquisitions — Our current acquisition focus is to identify "bolt-on" acquisition opportunities like the Fallbrook, SIFCO and AIL transactions that have a strategic fit with our existing businesses, particularly opportunities that would support the other growth initiatives discussed above and enhance the value proposition of our customer product offerings. Any potential acquisition will be evaluated in the same manner we currently consider customer program opportunities — with a disciplined financial approach designed to ensure profitable growth.

Cost management — Although we have taken significant strides to improve our margins, particularly through streamlining and rationalizing our manufacturing activities and rationalizing our administrative support processes, additional opportunities remain. We have ramped up our material cost efforts to ensure that we are rationalizing our supply base and obtaining appropriate competitive pricing. Further, we are putting a major focus on reducing product complexity – something that not only improves our cost, but brings added value to our customers through more efficient assembly processes. With a continued emphasis on process improvements and productivity throughout the organization, we expect cost reductions to continue contributing to future margin improvement.

# Acquisitions

SIFCO — In February 2011, we entered into an agreement with SIFCO, a leading producer of steer axles and forged components in South America. In return for a payment of \$150 to SIFCO, we acquired the distribution rights to SIFCO's commercial vehicle steer axle systems as well as an exclusive long-term supply agreement for key driveline components. Additionally, SIFCO has provided selected assets and assistance to Dana to establish assembly capabilities for these systems. We are responsible for all customer relationships, including marketing, sales, engineering and assembly. The addition of truck and bus steer axles to our product offering in South America effectively positions us as the leading full-line supplier of commercial vehicle drivelines — including front and rear axles, driveshafts and suspension systems — in South America.

Dongfeng Dana Axle — In June 2011, we paid \$124 to increase our equity investment in Dongfeng Dana Axle Co., Ltd. (DDAC) from 4% to 50%. Our investment in DDAC is being accounted for on the equity method. DDAC is the primary supplier of truck axles to Dongfeng. DDAC offers a complete range of truck axles in the Chinese market, including drive, steer, tandem, and hub-reduction axles for light-, medium- and heavy-duty trucks, as well as buses.

Axles India — In June 2011, we acquired the axle drive head and final assembly business of our AIL equity affiliate for \$13.

Dana Rexroth Transmission Systems — In October 2011, we formed a 50/50 joint venture with Bosch Rexroth to develop and manufacture advanced powersplit drive transmissions for the off-highway market. We contributed \$8 to the venture and are accounting for our investment under the equity method. Both Dana and Bosch Rexroth contributed an additional \$1 to the venture in the third quarter of 2012.

Fallbrook — In September 2012, we entered into a strategic alliance with Fallbrook Technologies Inc. In connection with this transaction, we obtained an exclusive license to Fallbrook's CVP technology, allowing Dana to engineer, produce and sell driveline products using this technology for passenger and certain off-highway vehicles in the end markets Dana serves. As part of this alliance, Fallbrook will also provide Dana with development and other support through an engineering services agreement, and several Fallbrook engineers are being hired by Dana. Under the exclusive license agreement, Dana will pay Fallbrook \$15, with additional consideration being paid if the affected parties mutually agree on additional markets being exclusively licensed to Dana.

#### **Divestitures**

Divestiture of Structural Products business — In 2010, we completed the sale of substantially all of the assets of our Structural Products business to Metalsa S.A. de C.V. (Metalsa), the largest vehicle frame and structures supplier in Mexico. We had received cash proceeds of \$134 by the end of 2011 and \$12 remains in escrow pending resolution of claims presented by Metalsa. The Structural Products business that we retained generated sales of \$48 in 2011 and \$34 in 2012 through the August cessation date. Prior to the third quarter of 2012, Structural Products was reported as an operating segment of continuing operations. With the cessation of operations in this year's third quarter, the activities relating to the Structural Products operation are now reported as discontinued operations.

Divestiture of Leisure and All-Terrain Business — We completed the divestiture of our axle, differential and brake systems business serving the leisure, all-terrain and utility vehicle markets in August 2012. The total proceeds to be received of \$8 approximated the net assets of the business following an asset impairment charge of \$2 recorded in the first quarter of 2012. Sales of the divested business approximated \$53 in 2011 and \$37 in 2012 through the date of the disposition.

#### **Segments**

We manage our operations globally through four operating segments. Our LVD and Power Technologies segments primarily support light vehicle original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) with products for light trucks, SUVs, CUVs, vans and passenger cars. The Commercial Vehicle segment supports the OEMs of on-highway commercial vehicles (primarily trucks and buses), while our Off-Highway segment supports OEMs of off-highway vehicles (primarily wheeled vehicles used in construction and agricultural applications).

# **Trends in Our Markets**

Global Vehicle Production (Full Year)

		Actua	ıl
(Units in thousands)	Dana 2012 Outlook	2011	2010
North America			
Light Vehicle (Total)	14,800 to 15,100	13,125	11,941
Light Truck (excl. CUV/Minivan)	3,600 to 3,700	3,625	3,520
Medium Truck (Classes 5-7)	175 to 180	167	116
Heavy Truck (Class 8)	265 to 275	255	152
Europe (including E. Europe)			
Light Vehicle	18,800 to 19,000	20,089	19,094
Medium/Heavy Truck	400 to 420	430	325
South America			
Light Vehicle	4,300 to 4,500	4,318	4,173
Medium/Heavy Truck	160 to 180	219	191
Asia-Pacific			
Light Vehicle	41,000 to 42,000	36,803	37,046
Medium/Heavy Truck	1,450 to 1,550	1,575	1,714
Off-Highway – Global (year-over-year)			
Agricultural Equipment	0 to +5%	+15 to +20%	+2 to +5%
Construction Equipment	0 to +5%	+20 to +25%	+20 to +25%

# North America

Light vehicle markets — Gradually improving economic conditions during the past two years and the first nine months of 2012 have contributed to increased light vehicle sales and production levels in North America. Release of built-up demand to replace older vehicles and greater availability of credit have also stimulated new vehicle demand. Nine-month production of around 11.5 million light vehicles is 19% higher than comparable 2011 production of 9.7 million vehicles. Third quarter 2012 light vehicle production levels were about 12% higher than the comparable 2011 period. The higher production occurred predominantly in the passenger car and crossover segments. In the light truck pickup, van and SUV segment where more of our programs are focused, third quarter 2012 production was 5% lower than the same period of last year while nine month 2012 production increased 3% from the comparable 2011 period. As compared to this year's second quarter, light truck production was down 10%, with light truck pickup, van and SUV production being down 14%. The higher 2012 production levels are generally reflective of higher light vehicle unit sales which are about 14% higher in the first nine months of 2012 than in last year's first nine months. The light truck pickup, van and SUV segment posted a sales increase of only 5% over the same period. U.S. days supply of total light vehicles at the end of September 2012 was around 59, unchanged from days supply at June 30, 2012 and up from 51 days at December 31, 2011. The increase in overall light vehicle inventory levels from the end of 2011 is driven primarily by activity in the light truck pickup, van and SUV segment. Inventory levels in this segment increased to around 84 days at the end of September 2012, down slightly from 86 days at the end of June 2012, but up considerably from 56 days at the end of 2011.

Despite the relatively robust market thus far in 2012, a number of economic indicators continue to create an element of uncertainty over near-term vehicle sales and production. Unemployment levels have not decreased markedly and fuel prices continue to be volatile. An improved financing environment and signs that the housing sector may have bottomed out are positive developments. Adverse economic developments in other parts of the world have added a further element of uncertainty, impacting consumer confidence within the North American markets. Although the current economic environment continues to pose some risk and uncertainty to the sustainability of near-term vehicle production levels, on balance, we are expecting continued strengthening in North America for the remainder of 2012. Our current outlook for full year light vehicle production is 14.8 to 15.1 million units, up from our July 2012 guidance of 14.0 to 14.5 million units. At our current outlook, total light vehicle production will be up about 13 to 15% over 2011. The improved outlook is principally in the passenger car and cross-over vehicle segments. Our outlook for full year light truck pickup, van and SUV segment production is substantially unchanged from July 2012 – with production in this segment still expected to be comparable with 2011.

Medium/heavy vehicle markets — As with the light vehicle market, medium/heavy truck production has steadily increased over the past two years and into the first nine months of 2012. However, the pace of improvement in these markets in 2012 has been tempered by slower than expected improvement in economic conditions, which has led to lower new vehicle order levels. Heavy-duty Class 8 truck production of about 65,000 units in this year's third quarter is down about 5% from unit production of approximately 68,000 vehicles in the same period in 2011 and 17% when compared to this year's second quarter production of 78,000 units. For the comparable nine-month periods, 2012 production is up about 23%. In the medium-duty Classes 5-7 segment, year-over-year third quarter production was up about 2%, with this year's third quarter production about 15% lower than this year's second quarter. For the nine-month period of 2012, medium-duty production levels were around 12% higher than last year.

With the mixed and uncertain outlook surrounding the global economy, order levels for Class 8 trucks in the third quarter of 2012 have been relatively weak, leading to reductions in planned production levels by OEMs in this market. We have further reduced the range on our full year 2012 Class 8 production outlook range to 265,000 to 275,000 units from our July outlook of 270,000 to 280,000 units. As revised, this market is now expected to be up about 4 to 8% from last year. Our full year outlook for medium-duty Classes 5-7 production is substantially unchanged from July and expected to come in around 175,000 to 180,000 units, an increase of 5 to 8%.

#### Markets Outside of North America

Light vehicle markets — Europe production levels were tempered in 2011 by softness brought on in part by sovereign debt concerns, and a challenging economic environment continues to pose considerable uncertainty. Nine-month 2012 production of light vehicles in Europe was down about 5% from the corresponding period of 2011. We expect the tough economic environment to persist through the remainder of 2012, resulting in full year production levels being down from 2011. After increasing the past two years, South American production levels have weakened in 2012, with vehicle production for the first nine months of 2012 being down about 3% from the corresponding period of last year. At present, we expect full year 2012 production levels to be comparable to that of 2011. Asia Pacific production levels in 2011 were adversely impacted by the effects of natural disasters in Japan and Thailand. Production levels began rebounding in late 2011 and have continued to improve in 2012, with nine-month 2012 production up about 13% from last year. We expect year-over-year production to continue rebounding throughout 2012 with full year production coming in around 11 to 14% higher than 2011.

Medium/heavy vehicle markets — Some of the same factors referenced above that affected light vehicle markets outside of North America similarly affected the medium/heavy markets. After being up more than 30% in 2011, following a production increase of about 59% the previous year, Europe medium/heavy truck production in this year's first nine months is down about 11% from the same period of 2011 — in line with our outlook for the full year. South American production also increased significantly the past two years, up about 15% in 2011 and 45% in 2010. The combination of a pull back in purchases caused by engine emissions changes in Brazil and some overall economic weakness has caused 2012 production levels to decline significantly. Third quarter 2012 production rebounded some, coming in about 13% higher than the first two quarters of this year. Compared with last year, third quarter 2012 production is 13% lower, with nine-month production being down more than 20% from those same periods in 2011. With expected fourth quarter production that is comparable to the third quarter, our full year 2012 outlook is in line with July expectation at a level that is 18 to 27% lower than 2011. Asia Pacific production in 2011 declined about 5% as a consequence of the natural disasters disrupting 2011 production, after being up more than 50% the previous year. Although first-half 2012 production rebounded some from the second half of 2011, the effects of overall weaker global economic conditions have similarly impacted this market, with year-over-year third quarter production being down from 2011. We expect the sluggish economic conditions to continue through the remainder of the year, resulting in a full year Asia Pacific production outlook that is unchanged from July coming in below 2011.

# Off-Highway Markets

Our off-highway business has a large presence outside of North America, with about 70% of its sales coming from Europe and 10% from South America and Asia Pacific combined. We serve several segments of the diverse off-highway market, including construction, agriculture, mining and material handling. Our largest markets are the European and North American construction and agricultural equipment segments — both of which experienced increased demand in 2010 and 2011. Our full-year outlook is slightly changed from July, with agriculture and construction markets now expected to be flat to up 5% over last year.

#### Sales, Earnings and Cash Flow Outlook

	2012		
	Outlook	2011	2010
Sales	\$7,200 - \$7,300	\$ 7,592	\$ 6,109
Adjusted EBITDA *	\$780 - \$800	\$ 765	\$ 553
Free Cash Flow **	>\$200***	\$ 174	\$ 242

- \* Adjusted EBITDA is a non-GAAP financial measure discussed under Segment EBITDA within the Segment Results of Operations discussion below. See Item 7 of our 2011 Form 10-K for a reconciliation of 2011 and 2010 adjusted EBITDA to net income.
- \*\* Free cash flow is a non-GAAP financial measure, which we have defined as cash provided by operating activities excluding any bankruptcy claim related payments, less purchases of property, plant and equipment. We believe this measure is useful to investors in evaluating the operational cash flow of the company inclusive of the spending required to maintain the operations. Free cash flow is neither intended to represent nor be an alternative to the measure of net cash provided by operating activities reported under GAAP. Free cash flow may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies. See Item 7 of our 2011 Form 10-K for a reconciliation of 2011 and 2010 free cash flow to net cash flows provided by operating activities.
- \*\*\* Exclusive of a special one-time \$150 U.S. pension contribution.

During the past three years, significant focus was placed on right sizing and rationalizing our manufacturing operations, implementing other cost reduction initiatives and ensuring that customer programs were competitively priced. These efforts, along with stronger sales volumes, were the primary drivers of our improved profitability. With our financial position substantially improved, in 2011 we began directing increased attention to the growth initiatives described in the Operational and Strategic Initiatives section above. In this regard, certain acquisitions also contributed to the sales growth we achieved in 2011.

Our 2012 sales have been adversely impacted by weaker international exchange rates and softening demand levels, principally in the Europe region and in South and North America medium/heavy truck markets. While the South America commercial vehicle market has been weak all year, weakening in the North America market began mid-year. Particularly in light of a weaker second half 2012 commercial vehicle market we're now expecting full-year 2012 sales to be \$7,200 to \$7,300, a reduction from our July outlook of \$7,475 to \$7,575. With the reduced sales, our Adjusted EBITDA for the year is now expected to be in the range of \$780 to \$800. However, we expect to maintain Adjusted EBITDA margins at around 11% as we respond quickly to the changing market conditions and benefit from restructuring, cost reduction and pricing actions.

Our cash flow in recent years benefited primarily from increased earnings and lower capital spending, more than offsetting the higher working capital requirements associated with increased sales. With the lower sales levels, we've scaled back our capital spend expectation to \$160 to \$170, which compares to \$196 in 2011. With the reduced level of capital spend, our full-year free cash flow outlook of more than \$200 (before the incremental U.S. pension contribution of \$150 made in January 2012) is unchanged from our previous outlook. In comparison to 2011, increased cash requirements for interest, taxes and pension fund contributions in 2012 are expected to consume some of the increased free cash flow attributable to higher profits and lower capital spending.

# **Consolidated Results of Operations**

# Summary Consolidated Results of Operations (Third Quarter, 2012 versus 2011)

	Three Months Ended										
		Septem	ber 30,			Increase/					
	2012 2011 \$ 1,715 \$										
Net sales	\$	1,715	\$	1,940	\$	(225)					
Cost of sales		1,477		1,706		(229)					
Gross margin		238		234		4					
Selling, general and administrative expenses		99		111		(12)					
Amortization of intangibles		18		20		(2)					
Restructuring charges, net		6		22		(16)					
Other income, net		2		79		(77)					
Income from continuing operations before interest expense and income taxes	\$	117	\$	160	\$	(43)					
Income from continuing operations	\$	60	\$	116	\$	(56)					
Loss from discontinued operations	\$	-	\$	(4)	\$	4					
				<u> </u>							
Net income attributable to the parent company	\$	56	\$	110	\$	(54)					

*Sales* — The following table shows changes in our sales by geographic region.

	Three Months Ended							Am	0			
		September 30,				Increase/		Currency	Acqui	sitions and		Organic
		2012			(Decrease)		Effects	Divestitures			Change	
North America	\$	776	\$	842	\$	(66)	\$	(3)	\$	(5)	\$	(58)
Europe		460		504		(44)		(57)				13
South America		251		380		(129)		(44)				(85)
Asia Pacific		228		214		14		(16)				30
Total	\$	1,715	\$	1,940	\$	(225)	\$	(120)	\$	(5)	\$	(100)

Sales for the third quarter of 2012 decreased 12% compared to 2011. An overall weakening of several international currencies against the U.S. dollar was a major factor, reducing sales by \$120. Organic change — the impact on sales attributable primarily to market volume, pricing and mix — reduced sales by \$100, a decrease of 5% from 2011.

The decrease in third quarter 2012 sales in North America, adjusted for the effects of currency, totaled \$63 — a decrease of about 7% on 2011 sales. The reduced sales are primarily attributable to lower OEM heavy truck production levels in 2012, lower aftermarket sales, and the run-off of certain light vehicle programs, which more than offset higher production levels in the light vehicle market.

Third quarter sales in Europe were negatively impacted by \$57 from a weaker euro and other currencies as compared to the same period of 2011. Excluding currency effects, our third quarter 2012 sales in Europe were 3% higher than in 2011. New light vehicle customer programs and favorable customer mix more than offset the effects of lower light vehicle and medium/heavy vehicle production levels.

In South America, sales have been adversely impacted by the changeover in emissions regulations in Brazil which has resulted in significantly lower 2012 production levels. In combination with other economic pressures in South America, this has contributed to lower organic sales of \$85, a decline of 22% from 2011. The organic sales growth of 14% in Asia Pacific primarily reflects the improving production levels in the region along with increased sales from new customer programs coming on stream in 2012.

Cost of sales and gross margin — Cost of sales decreased 13% from 2011, primarily due to currency effects and lower sales volumes. The larger decrease in cost of sales than in sales was principally due to a continued drive for manufacturing costs reductions, including benefits from completion of certain restructuring initiatives — contributing, in part, to cost of sales being 86.1% of sales in 2012 as compared to 87.9% of sales in 2011. The impact of higher material commodity prices in 2012 was substantially offset by the benefits of material cost savings actions. Cost reductions, increased material cost recovery and other pricing actions in 2012 more than offset the effects of lower sales volumes, resulting in improved gross margin of \$238 (13.9% of sales) in 2012 versus \$234 (12.1% of sales) in 2011.

*Selling, general and administrative expenses (SG&A)* — SG&A expenses in 2012 were \$99 (5.8% of sales) as compared to \$111 (5.7% of sales) in 2011. The reduced expense is primarily due to cost reduction actions commensurate with lower sales volumes.

Restructuring charges — Restructuring charges of \$6 in 2012 included a charge of \$3 for new actions taken in this year's third quarter, principally for staffing reductions in Argentina and Canada. The remaining expenses in 2012 relate principally to previously initiated actions to further consolidate our manufacturing facilities and reduce administrative workforce levels. The restructuring costs in 2011 included costs associated with actions taken to close a number of facilities and staffing level reductions associated with organizational realignment.

Other income, net — Other income, net for the third quarter was \$2 in 2012 and \$79 in 2011. Other income in 2012 included net foreign exchange losses of \$2 and interest income of \$6, while in 2011 net foreign exchange gains were \$12 and interest income was \$7. Strategic transactions costs of \$4 were incurred in this year's third quarter, as compared to \$1 in 2011. Third quarter 2011 results included a net gain of \$60 recognized in connection with the sale of our GETRAG equity interests and an impairment charge of \$5 that was recorded in connection with the sale of the axle, differential and brake systems business serving the leisure, all-terrain and utility vehicle markets.

*Interest expense* — Interest expense was \$22 for 2012 and \$20 for 2011. The higher interest expense in 2012 is due to higher average debt levels and a higher average effective interest rate on outstanding debt. The average effective interest rate, inclusive of amortization of debt issuance costs, approximated 8.2% for 2012 as compared to 8.0% in 2011.

*Income tax expense* — Income tax expense was \$33 and \$30 for the third quarters of 2012 and 2011. The effective income tax rate in 2012 approximates the U.S. federal statutory rate of 35%. The effective rate of 21% in 2011 is primarily due to the effects of, and adjustments to, valuation allowances in several countries (including the U.S.), nondeductible expenses, different statutory rates outside the U.S. and withholding taxes as discussed in Note 15 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 1 of Part I.

In the U.S. and certain other countries, our recent history of operating losses does not allow us to satisfy the "more likely than not" criterion for recognition of deferred tax assets. Therefore, there is generally no income tax recognized on the pre-tax income or losses in these jurisdictions as valuation allowance adjustments offset the associated tax effects.

Equity in earnings of affiliates — Equity in earnings of affiliates was a net loss of \$2 in 2012 and net income of \$6 in 2011. During the third quarter of 2011, our equity interests in certain GETRAG businesses contributed \$3. These interests were sold in September 2011. Our equity in earnings of DDAC was down \$2 from the comparable prior period in 2011 due to weakening demand in China's commercial vehicle market. Dana Rexroth Transmission Systems was formed during the fourth quarter of 2011. Dana's share of losses was \$2 during the third quarter of 2012 as the venture continues its product development efforts.

# Summary Consolidated Results of Operations (Year-to-Date, 2012 versus 2011)

	Nine Months Ended										
	September 30, In										
		2012		2011		(Decrease)					
Net sales	\$	5,615	\$	5,649	\$	(34)					
Cost of sales		4,838		4,967		(129)					
Gross margin		777		682		95					
Selling, general and administrative expenses		322		316		6					
Amortization of intangibles		56		58		(2)					
Restructuring charges, net		30		60		(30)					
Other income, net		9		51		(42)					
Income from continuing operations before interest expense and income taxes	\$	378	\$	299	\$	79					
Income from continuing operations	\$	222	\$	164	\$	58					
	_		_		_						
Loss from discontinued operations	\$	-	\$	(7)	\$	7					
	<u> </u>		Ė		Ė						
Net income attributable to the parent company	\$	212	\$	148	\$	64					

Sales — The following table shows changes in our sales by geographic region.

	Nine Months Ended								Amount of Change Due To						
	September 30,				Increase/			Currency		Acquisitions and		Organic			
		2012 2011		2011	(Decrease)			Effects	Divestitures			Change			
North America	\$	2,685	\$	2,460	\$	225	\$	(13)	\$	(5)	\$	243			
Europe		1,562		1,586		(24)		(151)				127			
South America		700		1,011		(311)		(86)		11		(236)			
Asia Pacific		668		592		76		(31)		18		89			
Total	\$	5,615	\$	5,649	\$	(34)	\$	(281)	\$	24	\$	223			

Sales for the first nine months of 2012 were down slightly from the corresponding nine-month period of 2011. The overall weakening of several international currencies against the U.S. dollar reduced sales by \$281, while the net impact of acquisitions and divestitures added \$24. The organic change — the impact on sales attributable primarily to market volume, pricing and mix — provided an increase of 4% over 2011.

The increase in sales in North America during 2012, adjusted for the effects of currency, totaled \$238 — a 10% increase on 2011 sales. The growth was largely due to increased OEM production levels in the light vehicle and medium/heavy truck markets. Light vehicle production levels were 19% higher in 2012 while medium/heavy truck production was up 18%. In the off-highway sector, organic sales increased 5%, primarily due to stronger 2012 demand levels. Partially offsetting the overall market volume increases was the scheduled run-off of certain light vehicle programs.

Excluding currency effects, our nine-month 2012 sales in Europe were 8% higher than in 2011. A significant portion of our business in Europe is in the off-highway markets where increased demand levels contributed to higher organic sales of around 11%. Our other businesses in Europe experienced a net organic increase of about 5%, primarily due to new LVD programs and favorable customer mix which more than offset the effects of lower light vehicle and medium/heavy truck production levels.

In South America, sales benefited by \$11 from the strategic agreement with SIFCO completed in February 2011. Exclusive of this and currency effects, 2012 nine-month sales in South America were down 23% versus 2011, primarily as a result of medium/heavy vehicle production levels being down more than 20% and light vehicle production being 3% lower. The AIL acquisition in the second quarter of 2011 contributed \$18 of the Asia Pacific sales increase. The organic sales growth of 15% in Asia Pacific primarily reflects the improving production levels in the region as compared to the first nine months of 2011 along with increased sales from new customer programs.

Cost of sales and gross margin — Cost of sales for the first nine months of 2012 was about 3% lower than the same period of 2011. The reduction was due in part to lower sales, with the effects of weaker international currencies being mostly offset by stronger sales volumes. The larger reduction in costs versus sales, however, was principally due to a continued drive for manufacturing cost reductions, including benefits from completion of certain restructuring initiatives, contributing, in part, to cost of sales of 86.2% of sales in 2012 as compared to 87.9% of sales in 2011. The impact of higher material commodity prices in 2012 was substantially offset by the benefits of material cost savings actions. Cost reductions, increased material cost recovery and other pricing actions in 2012 more than offset the effects of lower sales volumes, resulting in improved gross margin of \$777 (13.8% of sales) in 2012 from \$682 (12.1% of sales) in 2011.

*Selling, general and administrative expenses* (*SG&A*) — SG&A expenses in 2012 were \$322 (5.7% of sales) as compared to \$316 (5.6% of sales) in 2011. Contributing to the higher costs were inflationary increases in compensation and other benefit costs along with increased expenses to support certain of our growth initiatives.

Restructuring charges — Restructuring charges in both 2012 and 2011 were primarily employee separation costs and exit costs associated with workforce reduction actions and facility closures. In the second quarter of 2012, we ceased use of our Kalamazoo, Michigan facility and recognized a charge of \$11 to accrue the estimated fair value of the remaining lease obligation. In the first quarter of 2011, we entered into an agreement to settle the lease obligation associated with our Yennora, Australia facility. The cost associated with this settlement approximated \$20.

Other income, net — Other income, net was \$9 in 2012 and \$51 in 2011. Other income in 2012 included net foreign exchange losses of \$12 and interest income of \$17, while in 2011 net foreign exchange gains were \$10 and interest income was \$20. Strategic transactions costs of \$8 were incurred in this year's nine-month period, as compared to \$3 in 2011. Our 2011 results included a gain of \$60 on the sale of our GETRAG equity interests, an impairment charge of \$5 that was recorded in connection with the sale of the axle, differential and brake systems business serving the leisure, all-terrain and utility vehicle markets, and a charge of \$53 for the write-off of unamortized original issue discount and deferred financing costs primarily in connection with the January repayment of our then existing term facility debt. Also included in 2011 was \$6 of income from settlement of an asbestos-related claim with an insurance company in liquidation proceedings.

*Interest expense* — Interest expense was \$63 for 2012 and \$59 for 2011. The higher interest expense in 2012 is due to higher average debt levels and a higher average effective interest rate on outstanding debt. The average effective interest rate, inclusive of amortization of debt issuance costs, approximated 8.3% for the first nine months of 2012 as compared to 8.0% for the same period in 2011.

*Income tax expense* — Income tax expense was \$97 and \$93 in the first nine months of 2012 and 2011. The effective income tax rate varies from the U.S. federal statutory rate of 35% primarily due to the effects of, and adjustments to, valuation allowances in several countries (including the U.S.), nondeductible expenses, different statutory rates outside the U.S. and withholding taxes as discussed in Note 15 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 1 of Part I.

In the U.S. and certain other countries, our recent history of operating losses does not allow us to satisfy the "more likely than not" criterion for recognition of deferred tax assets. Therefore, there is generally no income tax recognized on the pre-tax income or losses in these jurisdictions as valuation allowance adjustments offset the associated tax effects.

*Equity in earnings of affiliates* — Equity investments provided net earnings of \$4 and \$17 in 2012 and 2011. Certain equity interests in GETRAG businesses that contributed \$8 of earnings in 2011 were sold in September 2011. Our equity in earnings of DDAC was down \$1 from 2011, primarily due to weakening demand in China's commercial vehicle market. Dana Rexroth Transmission Systems was formed during the fourth quarter of 2011. Dana's share of losses through the first three quarters of 2012 was \$3 as the venture continues its product development efforts.

#### **Segment Results of Operations**

Segment Sales

					Amount of Change Due To							
Three Months Ended				Increase/	Currency	Ac	equisitions and		Organic			
September 30,	2012	2011		(Decrease)	Effects		Divestitures		Change			
LVD	\$ 659	\$ 689	\$	(30)	\$ (26)	\$	-	\$	(4)			
Power Technologies	242	256		(14)	(15)				1			
Commercial Vehicle	471	611		(140)	(45)				(95)			
Off-Highway	343	385		(42)	(34)		(5)		(3)			
Eliminations and other	 	(1)		1					1			
Total	\$ 1,715	\$ 1,940	\$	(225)	\$ (120)	\$	(5)	\$	(100)			
			_			-						
Nine Months Ended												
September 30,												
LVD	\$ 2,121	\$ 2,016	\$	105	\$ (58)	\$	-	\$	163			
Power Technologies	772	792		(20)	(36)				16			
Commercial Vehicle	1,535	1,669		(134)	(98)		29		(65)			
Off-Highway	1,187	1,172		15	(89)		(5)		109			
Total	\$ 5,615	\$ 5,649	\$	(34)	\$ (281)	\$	24	\$	223			

Our LVD segment serves the light vehicle market. Exclusive of currency effects, LVD sales for the third quarter of 2012 were comparable to 2011, while nine-month sales in 2012 were 8% higher than in 2011. For the three months ended September 30, increased sales from pricing and material recovery actions, stronger overall production levels and new customer programs were more than offset by lower sales volumes attributed to the scheduled run-off of certain vehicle programs in North and South America. For the comparative nine-month periods, stronger light vehicle production levels, principally on certain vehicle platforms in North America, were the primary driver of the year-over-year organic sales increase, with pricing and material recovery also providing some benefit.

Power Technologies primarily serves the light vehicle market, but also sells product to the medium/heavy truck and off-highway markets. Third quarter 2012 sales, exclusive of currency effects, were comparable to 2011, with nine-month sales net of currency effects up 2%. Slightly stronger comparative third quarter North American and Asia Pacific production levels were offset by weaker Europe production levels. For the nine-month period, stronger North America and Asia Pacific production levels more than offset the weaker production in Europe.

After adjusting for the effects of currency movements, third quarter 2012 sales in our Commercial Vehicle segment were down more than 15% from 2011, with sales for the first nine months of 2012 down about 4% compared to 2011. Our significant medium/heavy truck presence in South America has been adversely impacted by a changeover in emission regulations in Brazil. Along with other factors, this contributed to a lowering of production levels in South America of about 13%, for the comparative three-month periods and more than 20% for the comparative nine-month periods. Whereas North America medium/heavy truck production levels for the first half of this year were up compared to 2011, softer demand in the third quarter resulted in comparative three-month production levels being down 2% against 2011, with nine-month production levels still being 18% higher than last year. Increased material recovery partially offset the net effects of lower sales volumes in both the three-month and nine-month periods.

Third quarter sales, net of currency effects, in our Off-Highway segment were down slightly compared to the same period of 2011, while nine-month sales net of currency were up about 9% from 2011. Stronger year-over-year demand in the construction, agriculture and other segments of this market that was experienced in the first half of 2012 has been tempered by comparative third-quarter demand that is about the same or slightly weaker than a year ago.

# Segment EBITDA

		Three M	Mont.	hs Ended Septeml	oer 3	30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,						
							Increase/							
Segment EBITDA		2012		2011		(Decrease)		2012		2011	(Decrease)			
LVD	\$	68	\$	74	\$	(6)	\$	207	\$	200	\$ 7			
Power Technologies		29		31		(2)		106		108	(2)			
Commercial Vehicle		45		61		(16)		163		159	4			
Off-Highway		48		42		6		153		134	19			
Total Segment EBITDA		190		208		(18)		629		601	28			
Corporate expense and other items, net		(1)		(8)		7		(8)		(20)	12			
Structures EBITDA		1				1		6		1	5			
Adjusted EBITDA *		190		200		(10)		627		582	45			
Depreciation and amortization		(68)		(74)		6		(206)		(227)	21			
Restructuring		(6)		(22)		16		(30)		(60)	30			
Interest expense, net		(16)		(13)		(3)		(46)		(39)	(7)			
Structures EBITDA		(1)				(1)		(6)		(1)	(5)			
Other **		(4)		49		(53)		(24)		(15)	(9)			
Income from continuing operations before income taxes		95		140		(45)		315		240	75			
Income tax expense		33		30		(3)		97		93	(4)			
Equity in earnings of affiliates		(2)		6		(8)		4		17	(13)			
Income from continuing operations	· <u> </u>	60		116		(56)		222		164	58			
Loss from discontinued operations				(4)		4				(7)	7			
Net income	\$	60	\$	112	\$	(52)	\$	222	\$	157	\$ 65			

- \* See discussion of non-GAAP financial measures below.
- \*\* Other includes loss on extinguishment of debt, strategic transaction expenses, stock compensation expense, loss on sales of assets and foreign exchange costs and benefits. See Note 17 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 1 of Part I for additional details.

Non-GAAP financial measures — The table above refers to adjusted EBITDA, a non-GAAP financial measure which we have defined as earnings from continuing and discontinued operations before interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization, non-cash equity grant expense, restructuring expense and other nonrecurring items (gain/loss on debt extinguishment or divestitures, impairment, etc.). The most significant impact on Dana's ongoing results of operations as a result of applying fresh start accounting following our emergence from bankruptcy was higher depreciation and amortization. By using adjusted EBITDA, a performance measure which excludes depreciation and amortization, the comparability of results is enhanced. Management also believes that adjusted EBITDA is an important measure since the financial covenants in our debt agreements are based, in part, on adjusted EBITDA. Adjusted EBITDA should not be considered a substitute for income before income taxes, net income or other results reported in accordance with GAAP. Adjusted EBITDA may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies.

LVD segment EBITDA of \$68 in the third quarter of 2012 was down \$6 from 2011, resulting in comparative third-quarter EBITDA margins of 10.3% of sales in 2012 versus 10.7% in 2011. Higher net currency gains in this segment's EBITDA in the third quarter of 2011 accounted for \$8 of the reduced year-over-year EBITDA. Lower third-quarter sales volumes also contributed about \$2 of reduced EBITDA as compared to last year. These factors were partially offset by profit improvement from pricing actions and net cost reductions. Nine-month 2012 LVD segment EBITDA of \$207 was \$7 better than the same period of 2011, with EBITDA margins coming in at 9.8% in 2012 versus 9.9% in 2011. Higher sales volumes, the result of stronger market production levels, increased year-over-year earnings by about \$27. Partially offsetting this benefit was the effect of net currency gains that benefited 2011 but not 2012 and higher warranty and other costs.

In the Power Technologies segment, third quarter EBITDA of \$29 is \$2 lower than 2011, with nine-month 2012 EBITDA of \$106 also \$2 lower than in 2011. EBITDA as a percent of sales was 12.0% in the third quarter of 2012 compared to 12.1% in 2011, and nine-month 2012 margin of 13.7% is higher than the 13.6% achieved in 2011. This year's third quarter was adversely impacted by currency translation effects and cost performance. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the benefits from stronger sales volumes and lower warranty cost were offset by adverse currency effects and increases in other costs.

Commercial Vehicle segment EBITDA for the third quarter of 2012 of \$45 was \$16 lower than third quarter 2011 EBITDA, with EBITDA margin of 9.6% for 2012 being down slightly from 10.0% in 2011. Lower sales volumes were the primary reason for reduced EBITDA, reducing profit by about \$24 as compared to last year's third quarter. Net material cost recovery and cost performance partially offset the impact of lower sales volumes. For the comparative nine-month periods, EBITDA of \$163 in 2012 was \$4 better than 2011, with EBITDA margin of 10.6% in 2012 up more than 100 basis points from the margin of 9.5% of sales in 2011. Material recovery and pricing actions benefited nine-month comparative EBITDA, more than offsetting the \$20 impact of lower sales volumes.

In our Off-Highway segment, third-quarter 2012 EBITDA of \$48 was up \$6 from the third quarter of 2011, improving EBITDA as a percent of sales to 14.0% in 2012 from 10.9% in 2011. Segment EBITDA of \$153 for the first nine months of 2012 was up \$19 from 2011, resulting in nine-month EBITDA margins of 12.9% in 2012 compared to 11.4% in 2011. For the comparative three-month period ended September 30, material cost savings and other cost improvements drove the year-over-year profit improvement as sales volumes were generally comparable. The nine-month EBITDA improvement reflected a benefit of about \$15 from stronger overall sales volumes, with cost reduction actions providing additional profit improvement.

## Liquidity

Senior Notes and Revolving Facility — In January 2011, we completed an offering of senior unsecured notes (the Senior Notes) which generated net proceeds of \$733. These proceeds, together with available cash of \$127, were used to repay in full all amounts then outstanding under our term facility. The aggregate principal amount of the Senior Notes is \$750, with \$400 at a fixed interest rate of 6.50% maturing in 2019 and \$350 at a fixed rate of 6.75% maturing in 2021. In connection with this refinancing, we amended our Revolving Credit and Guaranty Agreement (the Revolving Facility) allowing for the issuance of the Senior Notes.

The Revolving Facility was amended in February 2011 (the New Revolving Facility), extending the maturity to five years and reducing the aggregate principal amount of the facility from \$650 to \$500. With the issuance of the Senior Notes and the New Revolving Facility, we have additional flexibility to make acquisitions and other investments, incur additional indebtedness and pay dividends and distributions as long as certain terms and conditions are met. The maintenance-based financial covenants in our prior agreements were replaced with incurrence-based financial covenants. With these actions, we have reduced our overall debt, secured fixed interest rates over the next seven to nine years and increased our financial flexibility by freeing up debt capacity for growth. See Note 11 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 1 of Part I for additional details.

During March 2011, we replaced our European receivables loan agreements and established a new five-year €75 (\$96 at the September 30, 2012 exchange rate) receivables backed credit facility. Availability under the program is subject to the existence of adequate levels of supporting accounts receivable.

Covenants — At September 30, 2012, we were in compliance with the debt covenants under our financing agreements.

Global liquidity — Our global liquidity at September 30, 2012 was as follows:

Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 940
Less: Deposits supporting obligations	(25)
Available cash	 915
Additional cash availability from lines of credit in the U.S. and Europe	418
Marketable securities	64
Total global liquidity	\$ 1,397

A portion of the non-U.S. cash and cash equivalents is utilized for working capital and other operating purposes. Several countries have local regulatory requirements that significantly restrict the ability of our operations to repatriate this cash. Beyond these restrictions, there are practical limitations on repatriation of cash from certain countries because of the resulting tax withholdings.

Marketable securities are included as a component of global liquidity as these investments can be readily liquidated at our discretion.

The components of our September 30, 2012 consolidated cash balance were as follows:

	J	J.S.	No	on-U.S.	Total
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	202	\$	616	\$ 818
Cash and cash equivalents held as deposits		2		23	25
Cash and cash equivalents held at less than wholly-owned subsidiaries				97	97
Consolidated cash balance	\$	204	\$	736	\$ 940

Cash deposits are maintained to provide credit enhancement for certain agreements and are reported as part of cash and cash equivalents. For most of these deposits, the cash may be withdrawn if comparable security is provided in the form of letters of credit. Accordingly, these deposits are not considered to be restricted.

The principal sources of liquidity available for our future cash requirements are expected to be (i) cash flows from operations, (ii) cash and cash equivalents on hand, (iii) proceeds related to our trade receivable securitization and financing programs and (iv) borrowings from the New Revolving Facility. We believe that our overall liquidity and operating cash flow will be sufficient to meet our anticipated cash requirements for capital expenditures, working capital, debt obligations and other commitments during the next twelve months. While uncertainty surrounding the current economic environment could adversely impact our business, based on our current financial position, we believe it is unlikely that any such effects would preclude us from maintaining sufficient liquidity.

At September 30, 2012, there was \$91 of availability, but no borrowings, under our European trade receivable securitization program based on the effective borrowing base. At September 30, 2012, we had no borrowings under the New Revolving Facility but we had utilized \$66 for letters of credit. Based on our borrowing base collateral, we had availability as of that date under the New Revolving Facility of \$327 after deducting the outstanding letters of credit. As a result, we had aggregate additional borrowing availability of \$418 under these credit facilities.

In January 2012, we made a one-time contribution of \$150 to the U.S. pension plans. Through September 30, 2012, we have contributed an additional \$48 to the U.S. pension plans.

On October 25, 2012, the Board of Directors approved a share repurchase program for up to \$250 of our currently outstanding shares of common stock. We plan to repurchase shares utilizing available excess cash either in the open market or through privately negotiated transactions. The stock repurchase is subject to prevailing market conditions and other considerations.

#### **Cash Flow**

		Nine Months Ended September 30,		
		2012 2011		
Cash used for changes in working capital	\$	(116) \$	(183)	
Other cash provided by operations		237	369	
Net cash flows provided by operating activities		121	186	
Net cash used in investing activities		(114)	(257)	
Net cash used in financing activities		(3)	(136)	
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		4 \$	(207)	

Operating activities — The table above summarizes our consolidated statement of cash flows for the first nine months of 2012 and 2011. Exclusive of working capital, other cash provided by operations was \$237 during the first nine months of 2012 compared with \$369 during 2011. The year-over-year decrease in other cash provided by operations is attributable primarily to \$198 in contributions to the U.S. pension plans, including the one-time \$150 contribution made in January 2012. Excluding pension contributions, we would have had other cash provided by operations of \$435, with the increase over 2011 being due primarily to an increased level of operating earnings partially offset by an increased use of cash for payment of income taxes.

Working capital used cash of \$116 and \$183 during the first nine months of 2012 and 2011. Cash of \$11 was used in 2012 to finance increased receivables and \$344 was used in 2011. We also used cash of \$92 and \$133 to fund higher inventory levels in 2012 and 2011. Decreases in accounts payable and other net liabilities used cash of \$13 in 2012 while increases in accounts payable and other net liabilities provided cash of \$294 during 2011.

Investing activities — Expenditures for property, plant and equipment in 2012 and 2011 were \$113 and \$127. During the third quarter of 2012, we paid \$7 to enter our strategic alliance with Fallbrook and we received proceeds of \$7 from the sale of our axle, differential and brake systems business serving the leisure, all-terrain and utility vehicle markets. During 2011, we paid \$150 to enter our strategic agreement with SIFCO, \$124 to increase our ownership in DDAC and \$13 to acquire the axle drive head and final assembly business of Axles India. The 2011 sale of our GETRAG equity interests provided \$136 while the sale of the Structural Products business provided \$15 of additional proceeds in 2011 under the earn-out provision of the sale agreement.

Financing activities — We used cash of \$867 in 2011 to refinance our term debt. In connection with the refinancing, we received proceeds from the issuance of new Senior Notes of \$750 and used \$25 for issuance costs associated with the term debt refinancing and restructuring of other financing arrangements. During 2012, we increased borrowings primarily in international locations experiencing historically favorable interest rates. Dividends of \$23 were paid to preferred stockholders in 2012 and 2011. Dividend payments to common stockholders began in 2012 and total \$22 through September 30.

# **Contractual Obligations**

Preferred dividends accrued but not paid were \$8 at both September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

There have been no material changes at September 30, 2012 in our contractual obligations from those reported or estimated in the disclosures in Item 7 of our 2011 Form 10-K.

### **Contingencies**

For a summary of litigation and other contingencies, see Note 13 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 1 of Part I. We believe that any liabilities beyond the amounts already accrued that may result from these contingencies will not have a material adverse effect on our liquidity, financial condition or results of operations.

# **Critical Accounting Estimates**

The preparation of our consolidated financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires us to use estimates and make judgments and assumptions about future events that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue, expenses and the related disclosures. There have been no material changes in the application of our significant accounting policies or critical accounting estimates. Our significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 1 of Part I of this Form 10-Q, as well as in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 of our 2011 Form 10-K. Our critical accounting estimates are described in Item 7 of our 2011 Form 10-K.

*U.S. Pension Plans* — Long-term interest rates on high quality corporate debt instruments, which are used to determine the discount rate, have declined during 2012. The lower interest rates, if unchanged in the fourth quarter, would result in a year-end discount rate of 3.67%, which is 90 basis points lower than the December 31, 2011 rate. Our 2012 pension fund asset performance through September is above our expected long-term annual return of 7.00%. The year-end valuation, assuming asset earnings at our expected rate along with contributions currently scheduled for the fourth quarter, would result in an actuarial loss approximating \$149 which would be charged to other comprehensive income. Despite an increase in the projected benefit obligations to approximately \$2,074, our funded status would improve from 78% at the end of 2011 to 83%, primarily due to employer contributions of \$212, including the \$150 voluntary contribution made in January 2012. We currently estimate no minimum funding will be required in 2013. The ultimate impact on our financial condition and results of operations will depend on the actual year-end valuations.

#### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

There have been no material changes to the market risk exposures related to changes in interest rates and commodity and foreign currency exchange risk as discussed in Item 7A of our 2011 Form 10-K.

#### **Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

Disclosure controls and procedures — We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that the information disclosed in the reports we file with the SEC under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act), is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Our management, with participation of our CEO and CFO, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Report on Form 10-Q. Our CEO and CFO have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this Report on Form 10-Q, our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) were effective.

Changes in internal control over financial reporting — There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during our fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2012 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

CEO and CFO certifications — The Certifications of our CEO and CFO that are attached to this report as Exhibits 31.1 and 31.2 include information about our disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting. These Certifications should be read in conjunction with the information contained in this Item 4 and in Item 9A of our 2011 Form 10-K for a more complete understanding of the matters covered by the Certifications.

# PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

# Item 1. Legal Proceedings

As discussed in Note 13 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 1 of Part I, we are a party to various pending judicial and administrative proceedings that arose in the ordinary course of business.

After reviewing the currently pending lawsuits and proceedings (including the probable outcomes, reasonably anticipated costs and expenses, availability and limits of our insurance coverage and our established reserves for uninsured liabilities), we believe that the liabilities that may result from these proceedings beyond the amounts already accrued are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on our liquidity, financial condition or results of operations.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes in our risk factors disclosed in Item 1A of our 2011 Form 10-K.

# Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The following table presents information with respect to repurchases of common stock made by us during the quarter ended September 30, 2012. These shares were delivered to us by employees as payment for withholding taxes due upon the distribution of stock awards.

0/1/17 0/21/17	1 2/2	¢ 12.22		
Period	Purchased	per Share	Programs	the Plans or Programs
	of Shares	Price Paid	Announced Plans or	be Purchased Under
	Total Number	Average	Part of Publicly	Shares that May Yet
			Shares Purchased as	Maximum Number of
			Total Number of	

# Item 6. Exhibits

The Exhibits listed in the "Exhibit Index" are filed or furnished with this report.

# **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, hereunto duly authorized.

# DANA HOLDING CORPORATION

Date: October 26, 2012

/s/ William G. Quigley III William G. Quigley III Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

# EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.	Description
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification by Chief Executive Officer
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification by Chief Financial Officer
32	Section 1350 Certifications (furnished only)
101	101.INS XBRL Instance Document*
	101.SCH XBRL Schema Document*
	101.CAL XBRL Calculation Linkbase Document*
	101.LAB XBRL Labels Linkbase Document*
	101.PRE XBRL Presentation Linkbase Document*
	101.DEF XBRL Definition Linkbase Document*

<sup>\*</sup> Our XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) information is furnished and not filed, is not part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

### **Certification of Chief Executive Officer**

#### I, Roger J. Wood, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Dana Holding Corporation;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: October 26, 2012 /s/ Roger J. Wood

Roger J. Wood

President and Chief Executive Officer

### **Certification of Chief Financial Officer**

#### I, William G. Quigley III, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Dana Holding Corporation;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: October 26, 2012

/s/ William G. Quigley III
William G. Quigley III
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer

#### Certifications Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Dana Holding Corporation (Dana) on Form 10-Q for the three months ended September 30, 2012, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the Report), each of the undersigned officers of Dana certifies pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to such officer's knowledge:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Dana as of the dates and for the periods expressed in the Report.

Date: October 26, 2012

/s/ Roger J. Wood

Roger J. Wood

President and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ William G. Quigley III

William G. Quigley III
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer